# An introduction to vibrational properties of glass models 

Simona ISPAS

simona.ispas@univ-montp2.fr

Laboratoire Charles Coulomb,
Dépt. Colloïdes, Verres et Nanomatériaux, UMR 5221
Université Montpellier 2, France
CHARLES COUREOLOMB

## Outline

- Introduction
- 1st Part : Vibrational density of states (VDOS) of the glass models

1. Computation of the dynamical matrix : analytical calculation and finite differences method
2. Diagonalization of the dynamical matrix : eigenvectors and eigenvalues sets
3. True VDOS $g(\omega)$ and partial VDOS $g_{\alpha}(\omega)$

- 2nd Part : Connection to experimental results : VDOS extracted from neutron diffraction
- 3rd Part : Mode Analysis : participation ratio, acoustic/optic like mode, rocking,bending, stretching etc.
- 4th Part : IR Spectra
- 5th Part : Raman Spectra


## Vibrational spectra

Experimentally, they can be measured/extracted from :

- Neutron scattering
- Infrared measurements
- Raman, hyper-Raman experiments

For a given structural model, these spectra can be, in principle, computed : using first-principles methods, theoretical models or autocorrelation functions.

## 1st part : Vibrational density of states (VDOS)

- The phonon density of states (VDOS) over frequency $g(\omega)$ represents the nb. of vibrational states having a frequency in the range $\omega$ and $\omega+\mathrm{d} \omega$

$$
g(\omega)=\frac{1}{3 N-3} \sum_{k=4}^{3 N} \delta\left(\omega-\omega_{k}\right)
$$

- Within the harmonic approximation (i.e. small atomic displacements from their equilibrium positions), $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ can be numerically computed using 2 methods:
- the Fourier transform of the velocity autocorrelation function

$$
g(\omega) \propto \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k_{B} T} \sum_{j} m_{j}\left\langle\mathrm{v}_{j}(t) \cdot \mathrm{v}_{j}(0)\right\rangle \exp (-\imath \omega t) d t
$$

- diagonalization of the dynamical matrix


## Dynamical matrix

- Given a structural model containing $N$ atoms, characterized by a potential energy $\mathcal{U}\left(\left\{\mathbf{r}^{N}\right\}\right)$ (classical or ab initio approach)
- Any atomic vibration $\equiv$ a linear combination of the normal modes, i.e. the eigenvectors of the dynamical matrix $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{n}, n=1, \ldots, 3 N\right\}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, \beta} D_{i, \alpha ; j, \beta} e_{j \beta}^{n}=\omega_{n}^{2} e_{i \alpha}^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
(2) $C_{i, \alpha ; j, \beta}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}\left(\left\{\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\}\right)}{\partial r_{i, \alpha} \partial r_{j, \beta}}\right)_{\left\{\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{o}}\right\}}$ and $D_{i, \alpha ; j, \beta}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{i} m_{j}}} C_{i, \alpha ; j, \beta}$

- The set of 3 N -component vectors $\mathrm{e}^{n}$ is linked to the real space displacement $\mathbf{u}_{i, \alpha}^{n} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{i}}} \mathrm{e}_{i, \alpha}^{n}$


## Dynamical matrix (2)

- Methods for computing the dynamical matrix:
- analytical calculation in a classical MD approach, for an effective potential with a given functional form $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}\left(\left\{\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\}\right)$
- numerical calculation, by finite differences method

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{i, \alpha ; j, \beta} & =\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{U}\left(\left\{\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{N}}\right\}\right)}{\partial r_{i, \alpha} \partial r_{j, \beta}}\right)_{\left\{\mathrm{r}^{0}\right\}} \\
& \approx \frac{f_{j, \beta}\left(\ldots, r_{i, \alpha}+\Delta, \ldots\right)-f_{j, \beta}\left(\ldots, r_{i, \alpha}-\Delta, \ldots\right)}{2 \Delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{j, \beta}$ is the $\beta$ component of the forces on atom $i$.

- Numerical diagonalization $\Rightarrow\left\{\omega^{n}, \mathrm{e}^{n}\right\}_{n=1, \ldots, 3 N}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i} \mathrm{e}_{i}^{n} \mathrm{e}_{i}^{m}=\delta_{n m} \text { and } \sum_{n} e_{i, \alpha}^{n} e_{j, \beta}^{n}=\delta_{i j} \delta_{\alpha \beta}
$$

## True vibrational density of states (VDOS) $g(\omega)$

$g(\omega)=\frac{1}{3 N-3} \sum_{k=4}^{3 N} \delta\left(\omega-\omega_{k}\right) \quad-\delta$ functions broadened by Gaussian functions

- In general, the vibrational properties present a strong dependence on the interaction potential

- $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ glass - BKS and CPMD structural models very similar, BUT their VDOS are far from being similar [Benoit and Kob, EPL (2002)].


## Decomposition of the VDOS

- Decomposition into partial VDOS $g_{\alpha}(\omega)$, i.e. according to the weights of the different species :

$$
g_{\alpha}(\omega)=\frac{1}{3 N-3} \sum_{k=4}^{3 N} \sum_{i \in \alpha}\left|\mathrm{e}_{i}^{k}\right|^{2} \delta\left(\omega-\omega_{k}\right)
$$

E.g. For $\alpha=\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{NBO}, \mathrm{Na}$ in a NS4 glass, Ispas et al. JNCS 2005


3 main bands (Gaussian broadening FHWM $=35 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) :

- LF bands ( $\leq 500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): Na and O (bending and rocking) motions
- MF bands (500-900 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): Si and BO complex motions
- HF bands ( $\geq 900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): Si-NBO and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{BO}_{\text {nubitron }}$ stching motions


## 2nd part : VDOS - connection to experimental results

- The dynamical structure factor $S(\mathrm{Q}, \omega)$ measured in inelastic neutron scattering (INS) is roughly proportional to the true VDOS $\Rightarrow$ need to consider approximations and simplifications.
- Taraskin \& Elliott [PRB 55 (1997)] - a correction function connecting the true and effective VDOS : $G(\omega)=C(\omega) g(\omega)$, with $G(\omega)$ called effective VDOS


CPMD data - $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ glass [Benoit \& Kob EPL (2002)]

## 3rd part : Analysis of modes

- Complete knowledge of $\left\{\omega^{n}, \mathrm{e}^{n}\right\}_{n=1, \ldots, 3 N} \Rightarrow$ detailed analysis of the nature of the vibrational excitations [Taraskin \& Elliott, PRB 56 (1997)]
- participation ratio
- phase quotient
- Bridging oxygen motions
- ...


## Analysis of modes - participation ratio

NS4 glass [Ispas et al. JNCS (2005)] - ab initio versus Classical Forces Fields (VFF)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad p_{c}\left(\omega_{p}\right)=\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}{N \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right)\right|^{4}} \\
& \rightarrow \text { extended mode } \approx 1, \\
& \rightarrow \text { strongly localized mode } \approx 1 / N
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow a b$ initio (CP) modes are more localized than the classical VFF ones

## Analysis of modes - Bridging Oxygen motions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\mathrm{BO}}\left(\omega_{p}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{BO}}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{i-i^{\prime}}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{\mathrm{BO}}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right)\right|^{2}} \\
& B_{\mathrm{BO}}\left(\omega_{p}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{BO}}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{i+i^{\prime}}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{\mathrm{BO}}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right)\right|^{2}} \\
& R_{\mathrm{BO}}\left(\omega_{p}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{BO}}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\Delta}\right|^{2}}{\sum_{\mathrm{BO}}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right)\right|^{2}} \\
& S_{\mathrm{BO}}+B_{\mathrm{BO}}+R_{\mathrm{BO}}=1 \\
& p=1,2, \ldots 3 \times N
\end{aligned}
$$



NS4 glass model

- strong frequency dependent
- VFF overestimates the stretching
- in the MF range : stretching/bending mixed motions


## Analysis of modes - phase quotient

NS4 glass [Ispas et al. JNCS (2005)]

$$
q_{\Sigma}\left(\omega_{n}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i, j}^{N} \mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{n}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{j}\left(\omega_{p}\right)}{\sum^{N}\left|\mathbf{u}_{i}\left(\omega_{p}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{j}\left(\omega_{p}\right)\right|}
$$



## 4th part - IR spectrum

## Classical MD - computation using time correlation functions

Dielectric function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon(\omega)-\epsilon_{\infty}=\frac{\beta}{3 \epsilon_{0} V}\left[\left\langle\vec{M}(0)^{2}\right\rangle+2 \pi \imath \omega\langle\vec{M} \cdot \vec{M}\rangle_{\omega}+2\langle\vec{M} \cdot \vec{J}\rangle_{\omega}+\frac{\imath}{2 \pi \omega}\langle\vec{J} \cdot \vec{J}\rangle_{\omega}\right] \\
& \langle\vec{J} \cdot \vec{J}\rangle_{\omega}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{2 \pi \imath \omega t}\langle\vec{J}(t) \cdot \vec{J}(0)\rangle \mathrm{d} t, \vec{J}(t)=\sum_{i} q^{i} \vec{v}^{i}(t), \text { - charge current }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\vec{M}(t)=\sum_{i} \vec{\mu}^{i}(t)$ - total induced dipole moment

$\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ glass - $\epsilon_{2}=\operatorname{Im} \epsilon$ Classical MD, DIPPIM potential (DIPole Polarizable Ion Model) Marrocchelli, Salanne et al., Molec. Phys. 107 (2009)]

## IR spectrum - from ab initio data

Formalism [Resta, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66 (1994), Pasquarello \& Car, PRL 79 (1997), Umari, PhD thesis (2003)] :

- High frequency dielectric tensor : $\left(\epsilon_{\infty}\right)_{i j}=\delta_{i j}+4 \pi \frac{\partial^{2} E_{t o t}}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{i} \partial \mathcal{E}_{j}}$
- Born effective charges $Z_{I, j k}^{*}=-\frac{\partial^{2} E_{t o t}}{\partial r_{I j} \partial \mathcal{E}_{k}} \equiv \frac{\partial F_{I j}}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{k}}$
- Real part of the of dielectric function $\epsilon(\omega)$ :
$\epsilon_{1}(\omega)=\epsilon_{\infty}-\frac{4 \pi}{V} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}^{n}\right|^{2}}{\omega^{2}-\omega_{n}^{2}}$
- Imaginary part of the of dielectric function $\epsilon(\omega)$ :
$\epsilon_{2}(\omega)=\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{V} \sum_{n} \frac{\left|\mathcal{F}^{n}\right|^{2}}{2 \omega_{n}} \delta\left(\omega-\omega_{n}\right)$
where $\epsilon_{\infty}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\epsilon_{\infty}\right)_{i j} / 3$ and $\mathcal{F}_{j}^{n}=\sum_{I, k} Z_{I, j k}^{*} \frac{e_{I k}^{n}}{\sqrt{M_{I}}}$


## IR spectrum - from ab initio data (2)

$\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ glass, ab initio approach [Matsubara, Ispas, Kob (to be submitted)]


|  | Theor.[cm ${ }^{-1}$ ] | Exp.[ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{TO}_{1}$ | 435 | 457 |
| $\mathrm{LO}_{1}$ | 479 | 501 |
| $\mathrm{TO}_{2}$ | 800 | 810 |
| $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ | 811 | 820 |
| $\mathrm{TO}_{3}$ | 1054 | 1076 |
| $\mathrm{LO}_{3}$ | 1246 | 1256 |

Exp. Kirk, Phys. Rev. B (1988)

- Main bands in $\epsilon_{2}$ and $-\operatorname{Im}(1 / \epsilon)$ : overall well described with errors at most $\approx 30 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and good agreement to previous calculations [Umari \& Pasquarello (2005), Giacomazzi et al. (2009)]


## 5th part - Raman spectrum

- Nonresonant Stokes Raman spectra:

$$
I_{k} \propto\left|\mathrm{e}_{i n c} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\alpha^{k}} \mathrm{e}_{s c a t t}\right|^{2} \frac{n_{B}\left(\omega_{k}\right)+1}{\omega_{k}}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{i j}^{k}=\sum_{l m} \frac{\partial^{3} E_{t o t}}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{i} \partial \mathcal{E}_{k} \partial u_{l m}} \frac{e_{l m}^{k}}{\sqrt{M_{m}}}
$$

- Models for computing polarizabilities $\left(\partial^{2} E_{t o t} / \partial \mathcal{E}_{i} \partial \mathcal{E}_{k}\right)$ and its derivatives :
- Bond polarizability (BP) model : the polarizabilities is modeled in terms of bond contributions [Alben et al, PRB 11 (1975); N. Zotov et al, PRB 60 (1999), Rahmani et al. PRB 68 (2003)]
- Direct calculation as 2nd order derivatives of the total energy with respect to the applied electric fields [Umari \& Pasquarello PRL 89 (2002), Lazzeri \& Mauri PRL 90 (2003)]


## 5th part - Raman spectrum (2)

- NS4 glass, BP model - Ispas et al. JNCS (2005), using two sets of $\left\{\omega^{n}, \mathrm{e}^{n}\right\}_{n=1, \ldots, 3 N}, \mathrm{CP}$ and VFF



## 5th part - Raman spectrum (3)

- $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ glass, ab initio approach - Ferlat, Charpentier et al. PRL (2008)
- Raman peak at $\approx 800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ : probe of the fraction $f$ of Boroxol rings



## Summary

- Nowdays atomistic simulations may generate reliable structural models for simple glasses
- Calculations of the vibrational properties of the structural models and confrontation to experimental results
- Some thermodynamical properties can be computed, e.g. specific heat
- The detailed analysis of the nature of the vibrational excitations may help to getting better insights into the relations of the local structure (bonding angles, distance, ...) with the experimental vibrational spectra

