#### Multicomponent diffusion in silicate melts

Corinne Claireaux, Maxime Ficheux, Jean-Thomas Fonné, Hélène Pablo Emmanuelle Gouillart, Ekaterina Burov, MH Chopinet joint Unit CNRS/Saint-Gobain SVI, Paris

> Michael Toplis, IRAP Toulouse Mathieu Roskosz, MNHN Paris

Damien Vandembroucq, PMMH ESPCI Paris

Sophie Schuller, CEA Marcoule







heterogeneous system concentration gradient



#### chemical diffusion

How to predict diffusive exchanges in heterogeneous systems?

#### glass melting









#### heterogeneous system concentration gradient



#### chemical diffusion



Dargaud 2011 crystallization phase separation



#### thin films on glass substrate

How to predict diffusive exchanges in heterogeneous systems?

#### Different configurations for diffusion



[Jambon and Carron, 1976, Richter et al., 1999]

Chemical diffusion : gradient of chemical concentration



[Trial and Spera, 1994, Chakraborty et al., 1995a, Liang et al., 1996]

#### Interdiffusion effects : uphill diffusion



 $\leftarrow [Liang et al., 1996]$  **uphill diffusion** 

#### Interdiffusion effects : uphill diffusion



#### Uphill diffusion in various materials



Darken, Fe-Si-C alloy

[Krishna2015]



#### Interdiffusion effects

Diff Couple	$\begin{array}{l} D(SiO_2) \\ (\mu m^2/s) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D(TiO_2) \\ (\mu m^2\!/s) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D(Al_2O_3) \\ (\mu m^2\!/s) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D(MgO) \\ (\mu m^2\!/s) \end{array}$	D(CaO) $(\mu m^2/s)$	$\begin{array}{l} D(Na_2O) \\ (\mu m^2/s) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} D(K_2O) \\ (\mu m^2/s) \end{array}$
Si-Ti	$19.5\pm2.8$	$21.5\pm0.7$					
Si-Al	$15.7\pm1.5$		$12.3\pm0.8$				
Si–Mg	$30.0\pm1.7$			$49.7\pm1.5$			
Si–Ca	$28.7\pm2.8$				$60.4\pm2.0$		
Si–Na	$44.2\pm4.0$					$401.5\pm8.6$	$129.7\pm7.4$
Si-K	$102.9\pm19.5$						$109.1\pm1.7$
Ti–Mg		$20.8\pm0.7$		$46.9\pm2.3$			
Mg–Ca				$61.1\pm3.8$	$115.7\pm7.2$		
Ca–Na					$70.4\pm2.6$	$260.1\pm3.7$	
An diss		$13.3\pm0.6$	$17.9\pm0.2$	$36.8\pm0.5$			

#### [Guo and Zhang, 2016]

Effective binary diffusion coefficient (EBDC) depends on counter-diffusing species.

#### Viscous liquids quenched into amorphous solids



## Glass transition and viscosity

Avoiding crystallization



#### Glass transition and viscosity



Silicate glasses are strong network-forming glasses : easy to process **Downside** : low mobility, high viscosity

#### Composition and structure of silicate glasses



Polymerized network of tetrahedra

- Network formers : Si, Al, (B, P, ...)
- Network modifiers (or compensators) : Na, Ca, (K, Mg, ...)

#### Diffusion and reorganizations of silicate network



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S0UIMspT4jw, A. Tilocca

#### Fick's law

 $\mathbf{j} = -D\nabla C$  $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\Delta C$ 



Fick's law

$$\mathbf{j} = -D\nabla C$$
$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\Delta C$$



**Diffusion matrix** 

 $\textbf{j}=-\textbf{D}\nabla\textbf{C}$ 

$$\mathbf{j}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{k} D_{ik} \nabla C_{k}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathrm{Na}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Ca}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Al}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Si}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\mathrm{Na,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Na,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Na,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Na,Si}} \\ D_{\mathrm{Ca,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Ca,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Ca,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Ca,Si}} \\ D_{\mathrm{Al,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Al,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Al,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Al,Si}} \\ D_{\mathrm{Si,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Si,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Si,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Si,Si}} \end{pmatrix} \Delta \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathrm{Na}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Ca}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Al}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Si}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Fick's law

$$\mathbf{j} = -D\nabla C$$
$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\Delta C$$



**Diffusion matrix** 

 $\textbf{j}=-\textbf{D}\nabla\textbf{C}$ 

$$\mathbf{j}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{k} D_{ik} \nabla C_{k}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathrm{Na}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Ca}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Al}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Si}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\mathrm{Na,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Na,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Na,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Na,Si}} \\ D_{\mathrm{Ca,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Ca,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Ca,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Ca,Si}} \\ D_{\mathrm{Al,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Al,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Al,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Al,Si}} \\ D_{\mathrm{Si,Na}} & D_{\mathrm{Si,Ca}} & D_{\mathrm{Si,Al}} & D_{\mathrm{Si,Si}} \end{pmatrix} \Delta \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathrm{Na}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Ca}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Al}} \\ C_{\mathrm{Si}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Fick's law

 $\mathbf{j} = -D\nabla C$  $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\Delta C$ 

#### **Diffusion matrix**



Measured in several ternary systems, mostly in geosciences [Liang et al., 1996], [Richter et al., 1998] :  $CaO/MgO - Al_2O_3 - SiO_2$ Also used in multicomponent metallic alloys

$$\mathbf{j}_i = -C_i M_i \nabla \mu_i = -\sum_j C_i M_i \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial C_j} \frac{\partial C_j}{\partial x}$$

What are the diffusion matrices in systems of industrial interest?

 $\blacksquare$  Na<sub>2</sub>O - Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> - SiO<sub>2</sub> (NAS, V. Pukhkaya)

 $\blacksquare \operatorname{Na_2O} - \operatorname{CaO} - \operatorname{Al_2O_3} - \operatorname{SiO_2} (\mathsf{NCAS}, \mathsf{C}. \mathsf{Claireaux})$ 

$$\mathbb{A}$$
 Na<sub>2</sub>O – CaO – Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> – SiO<sub>2</sub> – ZrO<sub>2</sub> (NCASZ, M. Ficheux)

$$\mathbb{I}$$
 Na<sub>2</sub>O – B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> – SiO<sub>2</sub> (NBS, H. Pablo)

How do diffusion matrices depend on composition & temperature ? Can we predict them ?

Can we use diffusion matrices for thin films as well?

### Principle of multidiffusion experiments

[Claireaux et al., 2016] : quaternary system  $CaO-Na_2O-Al_2O_3-SiO_2$ 



12 different compositions  $\Delta\pm2.5\%$ 

A lot of diffusion experiments!

## Principle of multidiffusion experiments

[Claireaux et al., 2016] : quaternary system  $CaO-Na_2O-Al_2O_3-SiO_2$ 





12 different compositions  $\Delta\pm2.5\%$ 

A lot of diffusion experiments!

## **Typical diffusion profiles**

Electron microprobe profiles



## NCAS system at 1200°C



[Claireaux et al., 2016] GCA, Claireaux JNCS 2018 Python package to fit and simulate diffusion profiles : multidiff. Estimating the best diffusion matrix so that generated theoretical diffusion profiles fit optimally experimental diffusion profiles.



## **Estimation of diffusion matrix**

Estimating the best diffusion matrix so that generated theoretical diffusion profiles fit optimally experimental diffusion profiles.





The more experiments (and data points), the better !

Relative error on eigenvalues vs. intensity of noise



Python package to fit and simulate diffusion profiles : multidiff.

#### **Diffusion matrix at** 1200° **C**



- Not symmetric
- Large off-diagonal terms

The matrix can be used to compute the evolution of **any** diffusion couple (in the domain of interest).

Let us define

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{P}^{-1},$$

with

$$\mathbf{L} = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \lambda_1 & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \lambda_{n-1} \end{array}\right)$$

the diagonal matrix of **eigenvalues**  $\lambda_i$ , and  $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n-1})$  is the block matrix of the **eigenvectors**  $\mathbf{v}_i : \mathbf{Lv}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$ 

With 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{C}$$
 and  $\Delta \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}\Delta \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{C}}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{L} \nabla^2 \tilde{\mathbf{C}}$$

$$\tilde{C}_i(x,t) = \Delta \tilde{C}_i \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2\lambda_i t}}\right).$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{D} &= \mathbf{P}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{P}^{-1},\\ \text{With }\tilde{\mathbf{C}} &= \mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{C} \text{ and } \tilde{\Delta \mathbf{C}} &= \mathbf{P}^{-1}\Delta \mathbf{C},\\ &\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{C}}}{\partial t} &= \mathbf{L}\nabla^2\tilde{\mathbf{C}} \end{split}$$

$$\tilde{C}_i(x,t) = \Delta \tilde{C}_i \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2\lambda_i t}}\right)$$



#### **Diffusion eigenvectors at** 1200° **C**



#### **Diffusion eigenvectors at** 1200° **C**



#### **Diffusion eigenvectors at** 1200° **C**



composition	eigenvectors	eigenvalues	Т	ref.	
		$\times 10^{-12} m^2 . s^{-1}$	(° C)		
NCS	$Na_2O \leftrightarrow 0.85CaO + 0.15SiO_2$	105	1200	(Trial and Spera [114])	
16-12-72	$0.27Na_2O + 0.73CaO \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	4.4			
KSrS	$0.86K_2O + 0.14SiO_2 \leftrightarrow SrO$	0.09	806	(Varshneya and Cooper [118])	
21-17-62	$SrO \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	0.002			
KAS D-3	$K_2O \leftrightarrow 0.14Al_2O_3 + 0.86SiO_2$	0.07	1400	(Chakraborty et al. [19])	
9-16-75	$0.75 \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.25 \text{K}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{SiO}_2$	$1 \times 10^{-3}$			
<b>KAS</b> 17a	$K_2O \leftrightarrow 0.01Al_2O_3 + 0.99SiO_2$	10.8	1400	(Chakraborty et al. [19])	
16-9-75	$0.98 \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.02 \text{K}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{SiO}_2$	0.04			
KAS 23	$K_2O \leftrightarrow 0.02Al_2O_3 + 0.98SiO_2$	14.4	1600	(Chakraborty et al. [19])	
8-17-74	$0.8 \text{Al}_2 \text{O}_3 + 0.02 \text{K}_2 \text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{SiO}_2$	0.08			
NKASH	$Na_2O \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	550	1600	(Mungall et al. [78])	
	$K_2O \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	540			
	$Al_2O_3 + 0.21Na_2O + 0.28H_2O \leftrightarrow 1.57SiO_2$	3.4			
	$H_2O \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	280			
CAS 16	$CaO \leftrightarrow 0.58Al_2O_3 + 0.42SiO_2$	99	1500	(Liang et al. [67])	
30-20-50	$Al_2O_3 \leftrightarrow 0.15CaO + 0.85SiO_2$	23			
CAS A	$CaO \leftrightarrow 0.41Al_2O_3 + 0.59SiO_2$	34	1500	(Liang et al. [67])	
25-13-62	$0.81Al_2O_3 + 0.19CaO \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	8.3			
CAS B	$CaO \leftrightarrow 0.54Al_2O_3 + 0.46SiO_2$	47	1500	(Liang et al. [67])	
28-15-57	$0.93Al_2O_3 + 0.07CaO \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	23			
CAS D	$CaO \leftrightarrow 0.52Al_2O_3 + 0.48SiO_2$	36	1500	(Liang et al. [67])	
23-15-62	$0.62Al_2O_3 + 0.38CaO \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	11			
MAS	$MgO \leftrightarrow 0.54Al_2O_3 + 0.46SiO_2$	70	1550	(Richter et al. [90])	
22-18-60	$0.68Al_2O_3 + 0.32MgO \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	20			
CMAS	$CaO \leftrightarrow 0.36MgO + 0.32Al_2O_3 + 0.32SiO_2$	59	1500	(Richter et al. [90])	
9-7-20-64	$MgO \leftrightarrow 0.07CaO + 0.53Al_2O_3 + 0.4SiO_2$	26			
	$0.13$ CaO + $0.26$ MgO + $0.61$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> $\leftrightarrow$ SiO <sub>2</sub>	3.2			
NCAS	$Na_2O + 0.02Al_2O_2 \leftrightarrow 0.92CaO + 0.09SiO_2$	29.5	1200	(Claireaux et al. [24])	
13-11-11-65	$CaO + 0.02Na_2O \leftrightarrow 0.47Al_2O_3 + 0.52SiO_2$	0.58			
	$CaO + 0.17SiO_2 \leftrightarrow 0.99Al_2O_3 + 0.15Na_2O_3$	0.3			
NAS	$Na_2O \leftrightarrow SiO_2$	0.74	1200		
19-9-72	$SiO_2 \leftrightarrow Al_2O_3$	0.04			
BNS	$Na_2O \leftrightarrow 0.9SiO_2 + 0.1B_2O_3$	10.1	1100	(Pablo et al. [82])	
18-14-68	$SiO_2 \leftrightarrow 0.75B_2O_3 + 0.25Na_2O_3$	0.5			the second s



Random exchange of neighbors with fixed probability r<sub>AB</sub>

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{BC}} \\
\mathbf{C} \stackrel{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{BC}}}{\rightleftharpoons} & \mathbf{A} \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{A} \stackrel{\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{AB}}}{\leftarrow} & \mathbf{B} \\
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{AC}} \uparrow \downarrow \\
\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{A} \\
\end{array}$$

Coll. with B. Seoane

Random exchange of neighbors with fixed probability r<sub>AB</sub>



$$t = 0$$

t = n iterations





Coll. with B. Seoane

Random exchange of neighbors with fixed probability r<sub>AB</sub>



$$t = 0$$

t = n iterations







Random exchange of neighbors with fixed probability r<sub>AB</sub>



$$t = 0$$

t = n iterations





Compute diffusion matrix from exchange rates 
$$r_{ij}$$
  

$$\mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} (1-c_2)r_{13} + c_2r_{12} & c_1(r_{13} - r_{12}) \\ c_2(r_{23} - r_{12}) & (1-c_1)r_{23} + c_1r_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

Coll. with B. Seoane

# A different view on microscopic dynamics

composition	eigenvectors	eigenvalues	Т
		$\times 10^{-12} { m m}^2 . { m s}^{-1}$	(° C)
NCS	$\mathrm{Na_2O}\leftrightarrow 0.85\mathrm{CaO} + 0.15\mathrm{SiO_2}$	105	1200
16-12-72	$0.27 \mathrm{Na_2O} + 0.73 \mathrm{CaO} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SiO_2}$	4.4	
NCAS	$Na_2O + 0.02Al_2O_3 \leftrightarrow 0.92CaO + 0.09SiO_2$	29.5	1200
13-11-11-65	$CaO + 0.02Na_2O \leftrightarrow 0.47Al_2O_3 + 0.52SiO_2$	0.58	
	$\mathrm{CaO} + 0.17\mathrm{SiO}_2 \leftrightarrow 0.99\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3 + 0.15\mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{O}$	0.3	
BNS	$Na_2O \leftrightarrow 0.9SiO_2 + 0.1B_2O_3$	10.1	1100
18-14-68	${ m SiO}_2 \leftrightarrow 0.75 { m B}_2 { m O}_3 + 0.25 { m Na}_2 { m O}$	0.5	

# A different view on microscopic dynamics

composition	eigenvectors	eigenvalues	Т
-		$\times 10^{-12} { m m}^2 . { m s}^{-1}$	(° C)
NCS	$\mathrm{Na_2O}\leftrightarrow 0.85\mathrm{CaO} + 0.15\mathrm{SiO_2}$	105	1200
16-12-72	$0.27 \mathrm{Na_2O} + 0.73 \mathrm{CaO} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{SiO_2}$	4.4	
NCAS	$Na_2O + 0.02Al_2O_3 \leftrightarrow 0.92CaO + 0.09SiO_2$	29.5	1200
13-11-11-65	$CaO + 0.02Na_2O \leftrightarrow 0.47Al_2O_3 + 0.52SiO_2$	0.58	
	$\mathrm{CaO} + 0.17\mathrm{SiO}_2 \leftrightarrow 0.99\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3 + 0.15\mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{O}$	0.3	
BNS	$\mathrm{Na_2O}\leftrightarrow 0.9\mathrm{SiO_2}+0.1\mathrm{B_2O_3}$	10.1	1100
18-14-68	${ m SiO}_2 \leftrightarrow 0.75 { m B}_2 { m O}_3 + 0.25 { m Na}_2 { m O}$	0.5	

composition		exchange rates	
NCS	$r_{NC} = 3.2$	$r_{NS} = 1.3$	$r_{CS} = 0$
NCAS	$r_{NC} = 1$	$r_{NA} = 0.4$	$r_{NS} = 0.4$
	$r_{CA} = 0$	$r_{CS}=0$	$r_{AS}=0$
BNS	$r_{BN} = 0.2$	$r_{BS}=0$	$r_{NS} = 0.2$

Stoechiometry of diffusion eigenvectors related to

- binary exchange rates
- ... but also oxide concentrations

## **Energetics of diffusion matrices**

NCAS melts, temperature dependence of eigenvalues



High-temperature :

- Arrhenian behavior
- Eyring relation OK

#### **Energetics of diffusion matrices**



relation

NCAS melts, temperature dependence of eigenvalues

Same behavior observed in sodium borosilicate composition [Pablo et al., 2017]



Arrhenian + breakdown of Eyring relation close to  $T_g$ 

# Annealing of PVD-sputtered silica layers on soda-lime substrate (Planiclear)



## Uptake of alkali ions in silica layers

Exchange between protons and alkali ions



#### Uptake of alkali ions in silica layers

Exchange between protons and alkali ions



#### Uptake of alkali ions in silica layers

Exchange between protons and alkali ions



# Diffusive dissolution of thin film and multicomponent effects



Fonné et al., JACS 2017, JACS2018

# Diffusive dissolution of thin film and multicomponent effects



- Diffusion distance of Al smaller than for Si
- Na coupled to Si, Ca to both Si and Al.

Can we use the bulk diffusion matrix to explain these results?

Fonné et al., JACS 2017, JACS2018

## Fitting asymmetric diffusion profiles



High Si diffusivity (& viscosity) ratio between substrate and film

#### Fitting asymmetric diffusion profiles



High Si diffusivity (& viscosity) ratio between substrate and film Using Crank's model to fit profiles :

$$D_{\mathrm{Si}} = D_0 \exp(-\beta C_{\mathrm{Si}})$$

Fitted values of  $\beta$  consistent with Eyring's law and viscosity model (Priven)

#### Fitting asymmetric diffusion profiles



High Si diffusivity (& viscosity) ratio between substrate and film Using Crank's model to fit profiles :

$$D_{\rm Si} = D_0 \exp(-\beta C_{\rm Si})$$

Fitted values of  $\beta$  consistent with Eyring's law and viscosity model (Priven)

#### Multicomponent diffusion consistent between bulk and thin films



$$\label{eq:alpha} \begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Na}_2\mathrm{O} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{CaO} &\leftrightarrow \mathrm{SiO}_2.\\ \mathrm{CaO} &\leftrightarrow \mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3 \end{split}$$

Fonné et al., JACS 2017, JACS2018

#### Multicomponent diffusion consistent between bulk and thin films



$$\label{eq:alpha} \begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Na_2O} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{CaO} &\leftrightarrow \mathrm{SiO_2}.\\ \mathrm{CaO} &\leftrightarrow \mathrm{Al_2O_3} \end{split}$$

Fonné et al., JACS 2017, JACS2018

#### Conclusions

Diffusion matrices : a powerful tool to predict diffusive exchanges (useful outside of geochemistry !)

Diffusion matrix coefficients are related to concentration and local mobility (exchange rates). Link with structure?

Link with phase separation, crystallization, oxidation-reduction, ...?







#### Conclusions



# Bibliography I



#### Chakraborty, S., Dingwell, D. B., and Rubie, D. C. (1995a).

Multicomponent diffusion in ternary silicate melts in the system  $\rm K_2O-Al_2O_3-SiO_2:I.$  experimental measurements.

Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 59(2) :255-264.



#### Chakraborty, S., Dingwell, D. B., and Rubie, D. C. (1995b).

Multicomponent diffusion in ternary silicate melts in the system  $K_2O-Al_2O_3-SiO_2$ : II. mechanisms, systematics, and geological applications. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 59(2):265–277.



Claireaux, C., Chopinet, M.-H., Burov, E., Gouillart, E., Roskosz, M., and Toplis, M. J. (2016).

Atomic mobility in calcium and sodium aluminosilicate melts at 1200 c. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 192 :235–247.



#### Guo, C. and Zhang, Y. (2016).

Multicomponent diffusion in silicate melts : Sio 2-tio 2-al 2 o 3-mgo-cao-na 2 o-k 2 o system. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 195 :126-141.



Jambon, A. and Carron, J.-P. (1976).

Diffusion of Na, K, Rb and Cs in glasses of albite and orthoclase composition. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 40(8):897–903.



Liang, Y., Richter, F. M., Davis, A. M., and Watson, E. B. (1996).

Diffusion in silicate melts : I. self diffusion in  $CaO - Al_2O_3 - SiO_2$  at 1500° C and 1 GPa. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 60(22) :4353–4367.



Pablo, H., Schuller, S., Toplis, M., Gouillart, E., Mostefaoui, S., Charpentier, T., and Roskosz, M. (2017). Multicomponent diffusion in sodium borosilicate glasses. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids.* 

# **Bibliography II**



#### Richter, F. M., Liang, Y., and Davis, A. M. (1999).

Isotope fractionation by diffusion in molten oxides. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 63(18) :2853–2861.



Richter, F. M., Liang, Y., and Minarik, W. G. (1998).

Multicomponent diffusion and convection in molten  $MgO-Al_2O_3-SiO_2$ . Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 62(11) :1985–1991.

#### Trial, A. F. and Spera, F. J. (1994).

Measuring the multicomponent diffusion matrix : Experimental design and data analysis for silicate melts. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 58(18) :3769–3783.

## Conclusions

Diffusion matrices : a powerful tool (useful outside of geochemistry !)

Multicomponent effects modeled on bulk and thin films

Contrast of transport properties have to be modeled

Exchanges with atmosphere cannot be neglected for thin films, role of water and Al content





