Simuler les Propriétés *Mécaniques* des *Verres,* et des matériaux *désordonnés.*

A.Tanguy http://www-lpmcn.univ-lyon1.fr/~atanguy



Laboratoire LPMCN Theory Group Université Claude Bernard – Lyon 1 (France)





T. Albaret, J.-L. Barrat, C. Fusco, C. Goldenberg, G. Kermouche, F. Léonforte, B. Mantisi, A. Mokshin, M. Talati, M. Tsamados, J.P. Wittmer

I. Systems and Simulations

examples of amorphous materials Molecular Dynamics Simulations Energy Minimization Mesoscopic Modelling

II. Statistical Analysis

local dynamics correlated motion

III. Computation of Mechanical Quantities stress, strain, Elastic Moduli identification of plastic rearrangements

I. Systems and Simulations

examples of amorphous materials Molecular Dynamics Simulations Energy Minimization Mesoscopic Modelling

Examples of amorphous materials



Disordered dense assemblies: Foams, cells,...

Granular media



Colloïds



Colloids: 2 micron diameters 3D confocal microscopy. E.R. Weeks (2006)



FIG. 1 Glassy phases occur at low temperature or large density in many different systems spanning a broad range of lengthscales, such as atomic (top left, atomic force spectroscopy image of an alloy linear size 4.3 nm (Sugimoto *et al.*, 2007)), colloidal (top right) systems, foams (bottom left, a beer foam with bubbles of submillimeter size) and granular materials (bottom right, a fertilizer made of millimeter size grains).

L. Berthier (2010)

Classical Simulations on different systems The crucial choice of the **empirical** interactions

Examples: Lennard-Jones Glasses Amorphous « silicon » N = 100 to 400 000 particles N = 32768 atoms 10 a to 630 a (2D) L: L = 84.8 Å L = 36 to 143 Å 5 a to 74 a (3D) $\rho = 2.4 \text{ g/cm}^3$ $\rho = 2.2 \text{ to } 3 \text{ g/cm}^3$ 0.87 to 1.4 ρ: $V_{ij}(r) = 4\epsilon_{ij} \left\{ \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r}\right)^{6} \right\} \qquad E_{sw} = \sum_{i,j} (A.r_{ij}^{-4} - B).e^{(r_{ij} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{jik} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{jik} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ik} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ij} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1}} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + 1/3)^{2}.e^{\gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1} + \gamma.(r_{ijk} - a)^{-1} + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda.(\cos\theta_{ijk} + a)^{-1} + \sum_$ $E_{BKS}(r) = \frac{q_i q_j}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} + A_{ij} e^{-B_{ij}r} - \frac{C_{ij}}{r^6}$ with $(i, j) \in {Si,O}$

Silica glass



N = 3000 to 192000 atoms

Short-range parameters

2.76000 4.87318

 A_{ii} (eV)

1388.7730

18003.7572

0-0

Si-O

 b_{ij} (Å⁻¹) c_{ij} (eVÅ⁶)

175.0000

133.5381

Atomic

charges $q_0 = -1.2$

 $q_{\rm Si} = 2.4$



Example: Elasto-Plastic Mechanical Response



Specific Mechanical Properties:

Elastic Moduli:

l'état cris différents	tallisé à l verres méti	E à l'état amorphe (E alliques.	crist. ^{/E} am ^{) mesu}	rés à 20°C
ALLIAGE	E(GPa)	$\frac{1}{E} \cdot \frac{dE}{dT} / °C (X10^4)$	E _{crist.} /E _{am}	Réf.
Pd ₈₂ Si ₁₈	78	- 2,9	. 1,28	(3)
Pd ₈₀ Si ₂₀	65	- 7,3	1,24	(26)
Fe80 ^P 15 ^C 5	124	- 3,4		(1)
Fe75P15C10				
- désaimanté	128	- 0,6	1,45	(3) /
- aimanté à saturation	150	- 2,6	1,23	
Fe ₈₃ ^B 14 ^{Si} 1.5 ^C 1.5				10
- brut d'hyper- trempe	130	- 0,7	1,37	
- état relaxé	91	+ 12,5	1,75	(23) ·
Co ₈₀ P ₂₀	105	- 2,8	1,23	(3)
Ni P	95	- 9,2	1,32	(27) -

E_{amorphous} ≈ E_{crist.} / 1.3 < E_{crist}

Very high Elastic Limit:



along shear bands:



« Anormalous » density of Low ω Vibration Modes:



Macroscopic and Microscopic description of the Elastic Behaviour:



Molecular Dynamics Simulations:

$$m_i \frac{d\vec{v_i}}{dt} = \vec{F_i}$$

E=cste in the *Micromechanical* ensemble (isolated system. No damping):

$$ec{F_i} = -rac{\partial}{\partial ec{r_i}} \left(\mathcal{V}(ec{r_1}, ec{r_2}, ..., ec{r_N})
ight)$$

$$E = \mathcal{H}(\vec{r}_i, \vec{p}_i) = \sum_i \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \mathcal{V}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2, ..., \vec{r}_N) \quad \text{Total energy}$$

T=cste in the *Canonical* ensemble:

$$\langle E_c \rangle = \frac{3}{2} N k_B T$$
 $\sum_i m_i \delta v_i^2 = cste$

Different choices of Thermostats:

Langevin: $m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt} = -\Gamma \cdot v_i + F_i + \kappa(t)$ with $<\kappa(t) \cdot \kappa(t') > = \text{cste} \cdot 2\Gamma k_\text{B} T \cdot \delta(t-t')$

Andersen: prob. of collision $v \Delta t$. Maxwell-Boltzman velocity distr. Nosé-Hoover:

$$m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt} = F_i - m_i \zeta \vec{v}_i$$
$$Q \frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \sum_i m_i \vec{v}_i^2 - (3N+1)k_B T$$

Simple Rescaling: $(\delta_{V_{new}} / \delta_{V_{old}})^2 = T / T_{inst}$ Berendsen: $v'_i = \lambda v_i$ with $\lambda = (1 - \frac{dt}{t} [\frac{T}{T_0} - 1])^{1/2}$ Microscopic determination of different physical quantities:

-Density profile, pair distribution function
$$\hat{\rho}(\vec{r}) = \sum \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i)$$

$$\rho^{(2)}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \langle \hat{\rho}(\vec{r}) \hat{\rho}(\vec{r}') \rangle \equiv \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^{\tau} \hat{\rho}(\vec{r}, t) \hat{\rho}(\vec{r}', t) dt \qquad g(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \rho^{(2)}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') / \rho(\vec{r}) \rho(\vec{r}')$$

$$S(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{N} < \sum_{i,j} \exp\left(-i\vec{k}.(\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i)\right) >$$

-Velocity profile

Diffusion constant
$$D = \frac{1}{6t} < (\vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_i(0))^2 > = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty < \vec{v}_i(t) \cdot \vec{v}_i(0) > dt$$

-Stress tensor (Irwin-Kirkwood, Goldenberg-Goldhirsch)

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i} \frac{p_{i\alpha}p_{i\beta}}{m_{i}} + \sum_{i} r_{i\alpha}F_{i\beta}$$

-Pressure $PV = Nk_{B}T + \frac{1}{3} < \sum_{i} \vec{r}_{i}.\vec{F}_{i} > \longrightarrow$ Barostat
-Strain $u_{ij}^{P} = \underline{u}_{ij}.\frac{\underline{r}_{ij}^{eq}}{r_{ij}^{eq}} \approx \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \frac{r_{ij}^{eq,\alpha}.r_{ij}^{eq,\beta}}{r_{ij}^{eq}}.\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$



or λ

10

100

15

Length Scales:

A. Tanguy et al. PRE (2002)



F. Léonforte et al (2004)

Heterogeneous Displacements



Time Scales:

Length scales
$$a_{ij} \approx 10 \text{ Å}$$

Masses $m_i \approx 10^{-25} \text{ kg}$
Energy $\varepsilon_{ij} \approx 1 \text{ eV} \approx 2.10^{-19} \text{ J} \approx \text{k}_{\text{B}} \text{T}_{\text{m}}$
Time scale $\tau \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_i \cdot a^2}{\varepsilon_{ij}}} \approx 10^{-12} \text{ s}$ or $\tau \approx \frac{(0.1a)^2}{D(T=1)} \approx \frac{10^{-20}}{10^{-8}} \approx 10^{-12} \text{ s}$

N=10⁶ particles, Box size L=100 $\sigma \approx$ 10 nm for a mass density ρ =1. 3.N.N_{neig} \approx 10⁸ operations at each « time » step.

Time step $\Delta t = 0.01\tau \approx 10^{-14} \text{ s}$ $10^6 \text{ MD steps} \approx 10^{-8} \text{ s} = 10 \text{ ns}$

or 10⁶x10⁻⁴=100% shear strain in quasi-static simulations

Quench rate ~ 1000 °K/ 10 ns = 10^{11} °K/s >> 10^{6} °K/s

Thermal Regimes:

Athermal Limit M. Tsamados et al. (2010) Typical Relative displacement due to the external strain $a.\gamma.t$ larger than smaller than $k_{B}T$ Typical vibration of the atom due to thermal activation **Ex**. Lennard-Jones systems: $T_c \lesssim 40\dot{\gamma}^2$ $T_c \approx 4.10^{-3}$ at $\dot{\gamma} = 10^{-2}$ $\omega_0 = \sqrt{k_h/m}$ T_c $\approx 4.10^{-7}$ at $\dot{\gamma} = 10^{-4}$ **Ex.** Colloids: $T = 300^{\circ}K$ $\gamma > 10^{-6} s^{-1}$

Efficient Damping

F. Varnik et al. (2008)

Time needed to **dissipate** heat created

Time needed to generate **k_BT** by plastic activation

$$\gamma \ll \frac{k_{\rm B} T.c}{L.\sigma_{\rm xy}(\gamma)}$$

after setting T = 0.2 and $\sigma \approx 0.6$, yields $\dot{\gamma}_{tot} \leq 3 \times 10^{-2}$.

 $t_d = \sigma_{_{XY}}.\gamma$

Athermal Regime: 2D Lennard Jones T=10⁻⁷

at constant Strain Rate and Temperature M. Tsamados (2010) Competition between nucleation and diffusion



Low strain rate $\dot{\gamma} = 10^{-4}$ Progressive Diffusion of Local Rearrangements Finite Size Effects Large strain rate $\dot{\gamma} = 10^{-3}$ Nucleation of Local Rearrangements

Non-Uniform Temperature Profile and viscosity Efficient damping regime - F. Varnik (2008)

Visco-Plastic Behaviour:



Energy Minimization quasi-static simulations in the athermal limit:

At each step, apply a small strain $\delta \epsilon$ (L) $\approx 10^{-4}$ on the boundary, and Relax the system to a local minimum of the Total Potential Energy V({ri}). Dissipation is assumed to be total during $\delta \epsilon$.



MD versus Energy MInimization in the athermal limit:

At T=10⁻⁸ (rescaling of the transverse velocity v_v et each step)



The Stress-Strain behaviour in the QS limit:

A. Tanguy et coll. Phys. Rev. B (2002), J.P. Wittmer et coll. Europhys. Lett. (2002), A. Tanguy et coll. App. Surf. Sc. (2004)
F. Léonforte et coll. Phys. Rev. B (2004), F. Léonforte et coll. Phys. Rev. B (2005), F. Léonforte et coll. Phys. Rev. Lett. (2006),
A. Tanguy et coll. (2006), C. Goldenberg et coll. (2007), M. Tsamados et coll. (2008), M. Talati et coll. (2009).



Silica-like glass



BKS Potential. P₀=0 Gpa. N=24 000 atoms. L=71.66 Å $\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{BKS}(r) = \frac{E_{\alpha\beta}}{r} + \left(A_{\alpha\beta}e^{-B_{\alpha\beta}r} - \frac{C_{\alpha\beta}}{r^6}\right) + \left(\frac{D_{\alpha\beta}}{r}\right)^{12}$ A. Carré et al. (2007)



Effect of the **three body interaction** λ :

Effect of the **quenching rate** (structure):



Transition to strain softening, and heterogeneous flow.



Mesoscopic Modelling:

Local rules and Long-range elastic Couplings

+

Ex. Roux et al. (2000) Picard et al. (2002) Dahmen et al. (2005) Local Plastic Threshold

Long-range Elastic interactions



$$\epsilon_p(x,t) = \sum_{1}^{t} \eta(t)\delta(x - x^*(t))$$

$$\sigma_{xy}^1(\mathbf{r}) = 2\mu \int d\mathbf{r}' G^{\infty}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\epsilon_{xy}^{\text{pl}}(\mathbf{r}')$$

$$G^{\infty}(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2}\cos(4\theta)$$

D. Vandembroucq et al. (2011)



Figure 1. Left: Map of cumulated plastic activity in the stationary regime during a deformation window $\Delta \varepsilon = 0.01$ obtained with a mesoscopic model of amorphous plasticity[31]. A "diffuse" localization of the plastic deformation is observed along axes at $\pm \pi/4$. Right: for comparison, reproduction of a strikingly similar map of plastic activity (vorticity of the displacement field)[17]) on a 2D Lennard-Jones glass under compression.

II. Statistical Analysis

local dynamics correlated motion

Local Dynamics: Motion of an individual particle

, ÷





External Driving allows to recover a Diffusive Motion.

Tanguy et al. (2006), Besseling, Weeks et al. (2006), O. Dauchot et al. (2005), Roux et al. (2002)



FIG. 3. The distribution $\tilde{P}(\zeta; \Delta \gamma)$ of the scale variable $\zeta = \log_{10}(\Delta y)$ for increasing values of $n = \Delta \gamma / \delta = 1, 4, 16, 64, 256$. System size: L=20.

A. Lemaitre and C. Caroli (2007)



FIG. 4. Decomposition of the total distributions $\tilde{P}(\zeta; \Delta \gamma)$ (thick solid lines), for $n = \Delta \gamma / \delta = 1, 8, 64, 512$. For increasing *n*'s, the maximum of the distribution shifts rightwards. Thin solid lines: contribution of plastic events; thin dashed lines: contribution of elastic branches (see text). System size L=20.

Correlated Motion:

Ex. Dynamical Heterogeneities **for T > Tg**:

Direct Experimental Evidence of a Growing Length Scale Accompanying the Glass Transition

L. Berthier,^{1*} G. Biroli,² J.-P. Bouchaud,^{3,4} L. Cipelletti,¹ D. El Masri,¹ D. L'Hôte,⁴ F. Ladieu,⁴ M. Pierno¹

SCIENCE VOL 310 16 DECEMBER 2005



4-point correlation function $\chi_4(t)$ on the local density:

$$\chi_{4}(t) = \frac{1}{V\rho^{2}} \int d\vec{r}_{1} d\vec{r}_{2} \langle \rho(\vec{r}_{1},0).\rho(\vec{r}_{1},t).\rho(\vec{r}_{2},0).\rho(\vec{r}_{2},t) \rangle - \langle \rho(\vec{r}_{1},0).\rho(\vec{r}_{1},t) \rangle \langle \rho(\vec{r}_{2},0).\rho(\vec{r}_{1},t) \rangle$$



Binary Lennard-Jones mixture

Dynamical Heterogeneities upon Mechanical load at T<<Tg



Fig. 10. (Color online) Dynamical correlation functions computed over the particles of sample containing 2500 particles and sheared at $\dot{\gamma} = 10^{-4}$ under RWBCs for a total strain $\epsilon_{tot} = 200\%$. Left: correlation function $Q_s(a, \gamma)$ as a function of the probing length a and the strain γ in a log-log colormap. Right: four-point correlation function $\chi_4(a, \gamma)$ in a log-log colormap.







III. Computation of Mechanical Quantities stress, strain, Elastic Moduli identification of plastic rearrangements

Calculation of local elastic moduli from coarse-grained (continuous) fields:

displc^{ts}
$$\mathbf{U}^{\text{ln}}(\mathbf{R},t) = \frac{\sum_{i} m_{i} u_{i\alpha}(t) \phi[\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_{i}(t)]}{\sum_{j} m_{j} \phi[\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_{j}(t)]} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2})$$
 with $\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi w^{2}} e^{-(|\mathbf{r}|/w)^{2}}$
stress $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij;i\neq j} f_{ij\alpha} r_{ij\beta} \int_{0}^{1} ds \phi[\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{i}(t) + s\mathbf{r}_{ij}(t)]$ "contact stress"
2D case:
 $\begin{pmatrix} \delta \sigma_{xx} \\ \delta \sigma_{yy} \\ \sqrt{2}\delta \sigma_{xy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{C}_{xxxx} & \hat{C}_{xxyy} & \hat{C}_{xxxy} \\ \hat{C}_{xxyy} & \hat{C}_{yyyy} & \hat{C}_{yyxy} \\ \hat{C}_{xxxy} & \hat{C}_{yyxy} & \hat{C}_{xyxy} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{xx} \\ \epsilon_{yy} \\ \sqrt{2}\epsilon_{xy} \end{pmatrix}$
• Isotropic case:
 $\hat{C} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + 2\mu & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & \lambda + 2\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\mu \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \Lambda_{1} = \Lambda_{2} = 2\mu \\ \Lambda_{3} = 2(\lambda + \mu) \end{array}$
6 unknowns $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ obtained through 3 independent sollicitations (9 eq.)

Maps of **local** elastic moduli:



2D Jennard-Jones N = 216 225 L = 483 a



Large scale convergence to **homogeneous** and **isotropic** elasticity

²⁰ a

YES

YES

YES

YES

Isotropic

Elasticity

15

YES

YES

YES

NO



Evolution of the Elastic Moduli during plastic deformation:



Splitting of the system into *rigids* ($C_1 > \langle \overline{C_1} \rangle$) and *softs* zones ($C_1 < \langle \overline{C_1} \rangle$).

Shear Banding as a *Percolation* of *Soft* Zones:





The proportion of *soft* zones evolves in parallel with the *total stress*.

Percolation before Shear Bands

Local event:



Elementary shear band:

Percolation before Shear *Bands*

A. Tanguy and B. Mantisi EPL (2010)

Other order parameters:

The lowest Local modulus C1 allows to anticipate plastic activity.

Vibrational Properties: The role of Local Elastic Moduli ?

Exact Diagonalization of the Dynamical Matrix:

A. Tanguy (2002) S. Mossa (2008) B. Mantisi (2010)

Projection of the vibration modes on the plane waves:

Proximity to a Plastic Rearrangement: Localization at low frequency

Local event:

Elementary shear band:

Vibration modes:

A single localized mode

Superposition of localized modes

Example of « a-silicon »

The Peierls stress

$$\sigma_{xy}^* = Ae^{-2\pi W/b}$$

where
$$A = 2C_{44}/(1 - \nu)$$

TABLE III: Yield stresses σ_Y , width of the plastic event at the yield point W and corresponding values of b obtained by using Eq. (5) for different values of λ for a A-Si system prepared with a quenching rate of 10^{11} K/s, and for different values of the quenching rate at $\lambda = 21$.

λ	ν	W(Å)	$\sigma_Y({ m GPa})$	b (Å)
17	0.389	6.11	2.01	1.64
19	0.365	6.11	2.80	1.75
21	0.347	5.63	4.23	1.75
23.5	0.331	5.13	5.47	1.67
26.25	0.318	4.73	6.64	1.59
40	0.273	4.57	10.13	1.59
quench. rate		W(A)	σ_Y (GPa)	b (Å)
$10^{11} { m K/s}$		5.63	4.23	1.75
$10^{12} { m K/s}$		5.39	3.53	1.61
$10^{13} { m K/s}$		5.39	3.0	1.56
10 ¹⁴ K/s		5.30	2.32	1.45

Strong dependence of the **size** of the plastic events on λ . Analogy with *dislocation's width* in crystals.

Role of Local Coordination Defects:

Connection between plastic events and defects: as a function of the 3-body interaction λ

The connection to the **local coordination defects** depends on the strength of the *3-body* interaction C. Fusco et al. (2010)

Conclusion

Length Scales ~ 3 nm Time Scales ~ 10 ns or Quasi-Static athermal simulations Role and description of the Temperature? Athermal regime?

Universal phenomenology of plasticity in glasses: Quadrupolar Events and Shear Bands ~nm scale

The Local Bound Directionality affects: The Width of the Plastic Event (Peierls Stress)

The **number**, the **type** of coordination defects and their **evolution** upon external strain The **connection** between plastic events and Local coordination defects

Competition between **shear** and **densification** in **Silica-like Glasses**: The pressure hardening favors a more **homogeneous** atomistic response

The Dynamical Evolution of the Local Elastic Moduli is directly connected to the plastic Behaviour in Lennard-Jones systems. Its heterogeneity gives rise to low scattering of acoustic waves at low frequencies. The dynamical evolution of the Elastic Moduli is related to a significative change of the very low frequency Acoustic Modes close to a plastic rearrangement.

