

How to assess the structure of glasses ?

CNRS thematic school about glass structure



Structure of disordered materials by neutron diffraction

Gabriel Cuello Institut Laue Langevin Grenoble, France

cuello@ill.eu

Characterization of glass structure 18 - 22 November 2019 EPN Campus – Grenoble, France



Gabriel Cuello - Institut Laue Langevin

18/11/2019



Microscopic properties



$$S(\vec{Q},\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint d\vec{r} dt \; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t)} G(\vec{r},t)$$

van Hove's correlation function

Probability density of having a given atom somewhere, *e.g.* at (**0**, 0), and *any* atom at (*r*, *t*)

$$G(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{N} \int \mathrm{d}\vec{r'} \left\langle \rho(\vec{r'},0)\rho(\vec{r}+\vec{r'},t) \right\rangle$$

USTV School



Coherent and incoherent scattering



Static structure factor

$$S(\vec{Q}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \ S(\vec{Q}, \omega) = \int d\vec{r} \, e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}} G(\vec{r}, 0)$$

Static approximation

S(Q)-1 ∉ g(r)-1 become a FT pair

$$S(\vec{Q}) - 1 = \rho \int_{V} d\vec{r} \ [g(\vec{r}) - 1] \ e^{i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}$$
$$\rho \ [g(\vec{r}) - 1] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\vec{Q} \ \left[S(\vec{Q}) - 1\right] \ e^{-i\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}$$

Definition

$$F(\vec{Q}) = S(\vec{Q}) - 1$$

$$G(\vec{r}) = 4\pi\rho r \left[g(\vec{r}) - 1\right]$$

$$F(\vec{Q}) = \int_{V} \mathrm{d}\vec{r} \, \frac{G(\vec{r})}{4\pi r} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}$$
$$\frac{G(\vec{r})}{4\pi r} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \mathrm{d}\vec{Q} \, F(\vec{Q}) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\vec{Q}\cdot\vec{r}}$$



Isotropic case

$$Q F(Q) = \int_{0}^{\infty} G(r) \sin(Qr) dr$$

$$G(r) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q F(Q) \sin(Qr) dQ$$

$$S(Q) - 1 = \frac{4\pi\rho}{Q} \int_{0}^{\infty} r [g(r) - 1] \sin(Qr) dr$$

$$g(r) - 1 = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}\rho r} \int_{0}^{\infty} Q [S(Q) - 1] \sin(Qr) dQ$$



Pair distribution function





18/11/2019



Related functions



Pair correlation function G(r) or density function D(r)

 $G(r) = D(r) = 4\pi r \rho [g(r) - 1]$



Remember!

 $g(r) - 1 \propto FT \{S(Q) - 1\} / \rho$









Neutron Scattering Lengths and Cross Sections

Javier Dawidowski, José Rolando Granada, Javier Roberto Santisteban, Florencia Cantargi and Luis Alberto Rodríguez Palomino Comisión Nacional de Energia Atómica, Consejo Nacional de investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro, Bariloche, Río Negro, Argentina

Experimental Methods in the Physical Sciences, Vol. 44. © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

 Ce
 Pr
 Nd
 Prm
 Sm
 Eu
 Gd
 Tb
 Dy
 Ho
 Er
 Tm
 Yb
 Lu

 Th
 Pa
 U
 Np
 Pu
 Am
 Cm
 Bk
 Cf
 Es
 Fm
 Md
 No
 Lu

Periodic table showing elements with isotopes with > 20 % scattering length contrast (orange), 5 - 20 % contrast (blue), mono-isotopic, lack of scattering length contrast or prohibitively expensive isotopes (grey), elements with high absorption coefficients where non-absorbing isotopes are available (green), elements with isotopes to overcome incoherent scattering effects (yellow) and radioactive elements (red).



A binary system

Silver chalcogenides Ag₂X Fast-ion conductor or semiconductor glasses Network formers AsX or As_2X_3

X= S, Te or Se

+

Samples: ¹⁰⁷Ag₂^{nat}Se, ¹⁰⁹Ag₂⁷⁶Se, ^{nat}Ag₂⁷⁶Se

Isotope	<i>b</i> (fm)	$\sigma_{a}(b)$	$\sigma_{s}(b)$
ⁿ Ag	5.922	24.6	4.99
¹⁰⁷ Ag	7.64	14.6	7.44
¹⁰⁹ Ag	4.19	35.4	2.55
ⁿ Se	7.97	4.55	8.31
⁷⁶ Se	12.2	33.1	18.7

0.0706

0.1654 0.2272

0.1654 0.3211

0.2706

[0.2594]

0.1559

= 0.0780

1.4 1.2 $^{107}F_{76} + 1.0$ 1 0.8 $^{N}F_{76} + 0.5$ 0.6 F(Q) /barns/sr 0.4 0.2 $^{107}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{N}}$ 0 -0.2 -0.4 -0.6 2 6 10 12 14 0 4 8 16

Q / Å -1

 $\int_{nat}^{107} F_{S1}(Q)$

 $^{109}_{76}F_{s2}(Q)$

 $r_{76}^{\rm nat} F_{\rm S3}(Q)$

 $\begin{bmatrix} F_{AgAg}(Q) \\ F_{SeSe}(Q) \end{bmatrix}$

 $F_{AgSe}(Q)$

Partial structure factors

 $\int_{\text{nat}}^{107} F_{\text{S1}}(Q)$

 $|_{76}^{109}F_{s2}(Q)$

 $\int_{76}^{\text{nat}} F_{\text{S3}}(Q)$







Ionic behaviour



First difference method

 $\overline{b}^2 F(Q) = \sum \sum c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} \overline{b_{\alpha} b_{\beta}} F_{\alpha} \overline{b_{\beta} Q}$ Substitution -----> $\gamma: \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ Important! We change scattering lengths but <u>not</u> composition $\overline{b}^2 F_{\gamma 1}(Q) = c_{\gamma}^2 b_{\gamma 1}^2 F_{\gamma \gamma}(Q) + c_{\gamma} b_{\gamma 1} \sum_{\alpha \neq \gamma} c_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} F_{\alpha \gamma}(Q) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq \gamma} c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} b_{\alpha} b_{\beta} F_{\alpha \beta}(Q)$ $\bar{b}^2 F_{\gamma 2}(Q) = c_{\gamma}^2 b_{\gamma 2}^2 F_{\gamma \gamma}(Q) + c_{\gamma} b_{\gamma 2} \sum c_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} F_{\alpha \gamma}(Q) + \sum c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} b_{\alpha} b_{\beta} F_{\alpha \beta}(Q)$ Correlation function of $\bar{b}^2 \Delta F_{\gamma}(Q) = c_{\gamma}^2 (b_{\gamma 1}^2 - b_{\gamma 2}^2) F_{\gamma \gamma}(Q) + c_{\gamma} (b_{\gamma 1} - b_{\gamma 2}) \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} F_{\alpha \gamma}(Q)$ atom y with all other components $=F_{\gamma\gamma}(Q)+\frac{\sum_{\alpha\neq\gamma}^{n}c_{\alpha}b_{\alpha}\ F_{\alpha\gamma}(Q)}{c_{\gamma}\ (b_{\gamma1}+b_{\gamma2})} \text{ small}$ $b^2 \Delta F_{\gamma}(Q)$ $c_{\gamma}^2(b_{\gamma 1}^2 - b_{\gamma 2}^2)$

USTV School

<u>Gabriel Cuello</u> – Institut Laue Langevin

18/11/2019



A ternary system

<u>Li in ND₃</u>

- Metal-nonmetal transition at 7 MPM Class A metals Conductivity 15000 Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹ mol⁻¹
- 3 species \Rightarrow 6 different experiments!

First difference method

Samples: ⁶Li in ^{nat}ND₃ ⁷Li in ^{nat}ND₃ ⁶Li in ¹⁵ND₃





USTV School

Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin

18/11/2019

second difference method

New substitution $\delta: \delta_1, \delta_2$

$$\bar{b}^2 \ \Delta F_{\gamma\delta 1}(Q) = c_{\gamma}^2 (b_{\gamma 1}^2 - b_{\gamma 2}^2) \ F_{\gamma\gamma}(Q) + c_{\gamma} c_{\delta} (b_{\gamma 1} - b_{\gamma 2}) \ b_{\delta 1} F_{\gamma\delta}(Q) + c_{\gamma} (b_{\gamma 1} - b_{\gamma 2}) \ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq \gamma, \delta \\ n}}^n c_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \ F_{\alpha\gamma}(Q)$$

$$\bar{b}^2 \ \Delta F_{\gamma\delta 2}(Q) = c_{\gamma}^2 (b_{\gamma 1}^2 - b_{\gamma 2}^2) \ F_{\gamma\gamma}(Q) + c_{\gamma} c_{\delta} (b_{\gamma 1} - b_{\gamma 2}) \ b_{\delta 2} F_{\gamma\delta}(Q) + c_{\gamma} (b_{\gamma 1} - b_{\gamma 2}) \ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq \gamma, \delta \\ \alpha \neq \gamma, \delta}}^n c_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \ F_{\alpha\gamma}(Q)$$

$$\bar{b}^2 \Delta^2 F_{\gamma\delta}(Q) = c_{\gamma}c_{\delta}(b_{\gamma1} - b_{\gamma2}) (b_{\delta1} - b_{\delta2}) F_{\gamma\delta}(Q)$$

$$F_{\gamma\delta}(Q) = \frac{\bar{b}^2 \,\Delta^2 F_{\gamma\delta}(Q)}{c_{\gamma}c_{\delta}(b_{\gamma 1} - b_{\gamma 2}) \left(b_{\delta 1} - b_{\delta 2}\right)}$$

Partial structure factor for pairs γ and δ



Cu(II) aqua ion

<u>Model</u>

Octahedral complex [Cu(H₂O)]²⁺ Sixfold coordination





A priori assumptions about structure

Overlap axial Cu-O and Cu-H

Second difference method

A. Pasquarello *et al.* Science **291**, 856 (2001)

System: $Cu(ClO_4)_2 + HClO_4 \text{ in } H_2O$ $\rightarrow 10 \text{ expts!}$

Samples:

 65 Cu(ClO₄)₂+HClO₄ in H₂O 63 Cu(ClO₄)₂+HClO₄ in H₂O 65 Cu(ClO₄)₂+DClO₄ in D₂O 63 Cu(ClO₄)₂+DClO₄ in D₂O



 $\Delta^2 F = 2 c_{Cu} c_H (b_{65} - b_{63}) (b_D - b_H) F_{CuH}$



Five-fold coordinated ion

A. Pasquarello *et al.* Science **291**, 856 (2001)



First-principles Molecular Dynamics Simulation

$$\tau = (\theta - \varphi)/60 \times 100\%$$

Cu(II) aqua ion is **five-fold** coordinated

$$\Delta F = F_{CuO} + 0.044 F_{CuCu} + 0.102 F_{CuCl}$$





H incoherence problem



Neutron diffraction of hydrogenous materials: Measuring incoherent and coherent intensities separately

László Temleitner, Anne Stunault, Gabriel J. Cuello, and László Pusztai Phys. Rev. B **92**, 014201 – Published 1 July 2015 Javier Dawidowski, José Rolando Granada, Javier Roberto Santisteban, Florencia Cantargi and Luis Alberto Rodríguez Palomino Comisión Nacional de Energia Atómica, Consejo Nacional de investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Centro Atómico Barlioche and Instituto Balseiro, Barlioche, Río Negro, Argenina

Experimental Methods in the Physical Sciences, Vol. 44. © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.



Example: water









How to assess the structure of glasses ?

CNRS thematic school about glass structure



Tutorial: Neutron total scattering

Gabriel Cuello Institut Laue Langevin Grenoble, France

cuello@ill.eu

Characterization of glass structure 18 - 22 November 2019 EPN Campus – Grenoble, France



- Instruments
- Raw data
- Background subtraction
- Multiple scattering
- Inelasticity effects
- Normalisation to absolute scale
- Fourier transformation





D4C@ILL



Face	d-spacing	λ (Å)	Flux	Filter
	(Å)		$(10^7 \mathrm{n}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	
Si111	1.807	0.7	5.0	Ir
Cu220	1.278	0.5	4.5	Rh
Cu331	0.829	0.35	0.3	Non



 $Q = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \sin \theta$ $\frac{2\theta}{2}$

Instruments @ ISIS





Data reduction

$$I(2\theta,\omega) = C \Phi_0 N \frac{k'}{k} \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} S(\vec{Q},\omega) \epsilon(k')$$

$$I(2\theta) = C \Phi_0 N \frac{\sigma}{4\pi}$$

Formal aspects

- Elastic scattering (diffraction) $-\begin{bmatrix} Bragg's \ law \ (for \ Q) \\ Integration \ limits \ (\pm\infty) \end{bmatrix}$
- Stationary beam
- Constant efficiency detector
- One interaction processes (single scattering)

$I(2\theta) = C \Phi_0 N / 4\pi (\sigma_{cob} S(Q) + \sigma_{inc}) \varepsilon(k)$

Practical aspects

- Monochromatic beam
- No background
- No attenuation
- Single scattering

No beam No container No problem! No sample No environment No detector

 $E_{\rm max}$

 $-\infty$

constant 2θ

 $\mathrm{d}\omega \; \frac{k'}{k} \; S(\vec{Q},\omega) \, \epsilon(k')$



Interpretation

Model Simulation, *e.g.* RMC, EPSR, MD, etc.







Instrument (D4@ILL)





Heavy atoms

SeTe alloys: different compositions and temperatures

We have measured 3 samples with compositions Se_xTe_{1-x} with x = 0.15, 0.20 and 0.25 at different temperatures:

Se₁₅Te₈₅: T = 425 C, 485 C and 550 C. Se₂₀Te₈₀: T = 380 C, 400 C, 450 C, 550 C and 650 C. Se₂₅Te₇₅: T = 400 C, 520 C and 650 C.

Quartz sample containers, at T = 350 C, 450 C, 550 C and 650 C.

We assume we have two diffractograms D_1 and D_2 measured at the temperatures T_1 and T_2 , respectively, with $T_2 > T > T_1$.

The interpolated diffractogram is then

$$D = f_1 D_1 + f_2 D_2$$

where

 $f_1 = (T_2 - T)/(T_2 - T_1)$ $f_2 = (T - T_1)/(T_2 - T_1).$



Sample = (Sample+container) - Container



Sample = (Sample+container) - Container



Sample = (Sample+container) - Container

Raw data



USTV School

Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin



Intensity (10^6 monitor counts)

Raw data



Se₁₅Te₈₅

Se₂₀Te₈₀

Se₂₅Te₇₅

20

40

120



Data reduction

$$I(2\theta,\omega) = C \Phi_0 N \frac{k'}{k} \frac{\sigma}{4\pi} S(\vec{Q},\omega) \epsilon(k')$$

$$I(2\theta) = C \Phi_0 N \frac{\sigma}{4\pi}$$

Formal aspects

- Elastic scattering (diffraction) $-\begin{bmatrix} Bragg's \ law \ (for \ Q) \\ Integration \ limits \ (\pm\infty) \end{bmatrix}$
- Stationary beam
- Constant efficiency detector
- One interaction processes (single scattering)

$I(2\theta) = C \Phi_0 N / 4\pi (\sigma_{coh} S(Q) + \sigma_{inc}) \varepsilon(k)$

Practical aspects

- Monochromatic beam
- No background
- No attenuation
- Single scattering

No beam No container No problem! No sample No environment No detector

 $E_{\rm max}$

 $-\infty$

constant 2θ

 $\mathrm{d}\omega \; \frac{k'}{k} \; S(\vec{Q},\omega) \, \epsilon(k')$



Experimental corrections

Instrumental effects

Background noise

- Background
- Detector efficiency
- Detector dead-time
- Instrumental resolution

Sample effects

- Inelasticity
- Attenuation (container)
- Multiple scattering
- Normalisation



 Empty beam (no sample, no container)

Sample-like Cd specimen

Requires two measurements:



 $T = \exp\{-n \sigma_{T}(E) d\}$



These effects are closely associated to the detector efficiency



Placzeck's corrections

Efficiency

- Black detector, $\varepsilon(E) = 1$
- 1/v detector, $\varepsilon(E) \propto E^{-1/2}$
- Exponential detector, $\varepsilon(E) = 1 \exp\{-\alpha (E/E')^{1/2}\}$

- Taylor expansion of $S(Q_{\mu}\omega)$ around $(Q_{\mu}\omega) \longrightarrow S(Q_{\mu}\omega)$
- Expansion of $Q_{II}^2 Q_I^2$, $\varepsilon(k)$ and k'/k in powers of ω/ω_{max}
- Energy integration

$$S(Q) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{b_{\rm coh}^2} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_{\rm corr} + \left(1 + \frac{b_{\rm inc}^2}{b_{\rm coh}^2}\right) \left(C_1\delta - C_2\delta^2 + C_3\delta\gamma - \frac{m}{2M}\left(\delta + \gamma\right)\right) - \frac{b_{\rm inc}^2}{b_{\rm coh}^2}$$

For an exponential detector

$$C_1 = 1 - \frac{\alpha/2}{e^{\alpha} - 1}$$
$$C_2 = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{\alpha (\alpha + 3)}{8 (e^{\alpha} - 1)}$$
$$C_3 = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{4 (e^{\alpha} - 1)}$$



USTV School



Sample related corrections

Attenuation

Multiple Scattering

Sample + Container

Minimisation by choosing an adequate sample geometry

$$I_{\rm S}^{\rm corr}(2\theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\alpha_{\rm S,SC}(2\theta)}} \left(I_{\rm S}(2\theta) - I_{\rm S}^{\rm B}(2\theta) - \frac{\alpha_{\rm C,SC}(2\theta)}{\alpha_{\rm C,C}(2\theta)} \left(I_{\rm C}(2\theta) - I_{\rm C}^{\rm B}(2\theta) \right) \right) - \Delta$$

Paalman & Pings' coefficients

Cylindrical geometry

Blech & Averbach's correction

Complete knowledge of $S(Q, \omega)$ Numerical simulation

Normalisation

Absolute scale Vanadium diffractogram



Correction programs

Required data

CORRECT, Gudrun, etc. etc.

- Sample + container : as many as (sample, T) pairs.
- Container : enough to be able to interpolate.
- Empty instrument : the sample chamber and the sample environment.
- Absorber : a sample-like absorber

(Cd for thermal neutrons and B for hot neutrons).

- Vanadium rod : a sample-like vanadium.
- Crystalline powder sample : for wavelength and zero-angle (Ni).
- A good description of the geometry.

Output: Differential cross section in barns/sterad./scatt. unit





Input file for CORRECT

```
! Se15Te85_425C.com
inst D4
sample "Se15Te85 425C.adat" 0.45 /temperature=698.0 /density=0.026857 /packing=0.95668
! ds/d0_coh_self per atom = avr(bcoh^2) : 0.38122 barns/sr
! total sample composition in relative fractions (integer number of atoms/10)
component 1.5 Se
component 8.5 Te
L
! Container quartz o.d.= 11 mm, i.d.= 9 mm. Wall thickness = 1 mm
container "quartz_425C_110.adat" 0.55
! The background id the empty furnace
                                                             /packing = \rho_{eff} / \rho
background "MTfurnace RT.adat"
L
! 6mm08 vanadium with mtfurnace background
vanadium "vana_10mm.adat" 0.5 /smoothing=1
                                                             /fullness=h/H
background /vanadium "MTfurnace RT.adat"
wavelength 0.4989
! zeroangle = -0.090 already subtracted
zeroangle 0.0
! Beam dimensions in cm (height x width)
beam 5.0 1.2
! Placzek correction (inelasticity)
placzek SERIES_EXPANSION
! The output file is the coherent differential cross section
! corrected by inelasticity, multiple scattering, attenuation
! and properly normalised by vanadium
xout q
output "Se15Te85_425C.corr.q"
spectrum 1
execute/nopause
Т
quit
```

W h o.d.

Η





Output CORRECT (1)

CORRECT version ILL 3.20 (3 July 17) Check the input data CORRECT> @Se15Te85 425C CORRECT> ! Se15Te85 425C.com CORRECT> ! CORRECT> inst D4 CORRECT> ! CORRECT> sample "Se15Te85 425C.adat" 0.45 /temperature=698.0 /density=0.026857 /packing=0.95668 CORRECT> ! ds/d0 coh self per atom = avr(bcoh^2) : 0.38122 barns/sr CORRECT> ! total sample composition in relative fractions: CORRECT> component 0.15 Se CORRECT> component 0.85 Te CORRECT> container "quartz_425C_110.adat" 0.55 CORRECT> background "MTfurnace_RT.adat" CORRECT> ! CORRECT> ! 6mm08 vanadium with mtfurnace background CORRECT> vanadium "vana 10mm.adat" 0.5 /smoothing=1 CORRECT> background /vanadium "MTfurnace RT.adat" CORRECT> ! CORRECT> wavelength 0.4989 CORRECT> ! zeroangle = -0.090 already subtracted CORRECT> zeroangle 0.0 CORRECT> beam 5.0 1.2 CORRECT> placzek SERIES EXPANSION CORRECT> ! CORRECT> !xout angle CORRECT> !output aa 1212.corr CORRECT> !title "filename.corr (after correct)" CORRECT> !spectrum 1 CORRECT> !execute/nopause CORRECT> ! CORRECT> xout q CORRECT> output "Se15Te85 425C.corr.g" CORRECT> spectrum 1 CORRECT> execute/nopause



CORRECT

Output CORRECT (2)

General information

Correcting data from 2-AXIS diff Sample data is read from file Se with background from file MTfurn	ractometer D4 15Te85_425C.adat ace_RT.adat	Sample geometry : Sample radius Can outer radius Vanadium radius	is CYLINDRI s	CAL : 0.4 : 0.5 : 0.5	500 cm 500 cm 000 cm			
Container data is read from file with background from file MTfurn	quartz_425C_110.adat ace_RT.adat	The sample cons:	ists of 2	species:				
Vanadium data is read from file with background from file MTfurn Vanadium smoothing with polynomi	vana_10mm.adat ace_RT.adat al order 0	at.num symbol 34 Se 52 Te	rel.conc. 0.15000 0.85000	at.weight 78.9600 127.6000	bcoh 7.9700 5.8000	scatt.c-s 8.3000 4.3200	incoh.c-s 0.3177 0.0927	abs.c-s 11.7000 4.7000
Output will be to file Se15Te85_	425C.corr.q	Beam height Beam width		: 5.0 : 1.2	000 cm 000 cm			
Can absorption c/s (at 2200m/s) Can scattering c/s Can number density V absorption c/s (at 2200m/s) Vanadium scattering c/s Vanadium number density Sample intrinsic number density Sample packing fraction Sample fullness Sample temperature Sample new title	 5.080 barns 4.950 barns 0.0722 per cu. Angstrom 5.080 barns 4.950 barns 0.0722 per cu. Angstrom 0.0269 per cu. Angstrom 0.9567 1.0000 698.0 K filename.corr.q (after com 	Placzek correct: Incident waveler 2theta zeroanglo 1 spectra will Spectrum 1 prrect) For the vanadium	ion will be ngth e be correct m at wavele	e SERIES_EXP : 0.4 : 0 :ed: ength 0.498	ANSION 989 Angstı .0000 Degi 90 AA :	roms rees		
		<pre>mu_scat = scattering attenuation constant : 0.35739 cm-1 mu_abs = absorption attenuation constant : 0.10177 cm-1 mu_tot = total attenuation constant : 0.45916 cm-1 And using an effective pathlength = sqrt(pi)*r*0.85 of 0.75329 cm : scattering of beam (w/o absorption) : 0.23602 true absorption of beam (w/o scattering) : 0.07380 transmission (i.e. 1 - total_attenuation) : 0.70760</pre>						



Output CORRECT (3)

Some differential cross sections, etc, for the sample:

```
ds/d0_self per atom = avr(b^2) : 0.39128 barns/sr
ds/d0_coh_self per atom = avr(bcoh^2) : 0.38122 barns/sr
ds/d0_incoh per atom = avr(bincoh^2) : 0.01006 barns/sr
--> fraction incoh/self : 0.02571
Yarnell ds/d0_self/atom=avr(b^2) : 0.39161 barns/sr
Yarnell ds/d0_coh_self/atom=avr(bcoh^2): 0.38154 barns/sr
Yarnell ds/d0_incoh/atom=avr(bincoh^2) : 0.01007 barns/sr
--> Yarnell fraction incoh/self : 0.02571
```

b_coh = avr(b) over all isotopes in sample : 6.12550 fm (avr(b))^2 over all isotopes in sample : 0.37522 barns/sr And taking into account the imaginary part of b_coh: b_coh = sample's avr(b) = 6.12550 fm + i * 0.00160 fm |avr(b)|^2 over all isotopes in sample : 0.37522 barns/sr

```
avr(atwgt) over all isotopes in sample : 120.30400 a.u.
--> intrinsic mass density : 5.36444 g/cm**3
```

For the sample at wavelength 0.49890 AA :

mu_scat = scattering attenuation constant : 0.12634 cm-1
mu_abs = absorption attenuation constant : 0.04099 cm-1
mu_tot = total attenuation constant : 0.16733 cm-1
And using an effective pathlength = sqrt(pi)*r*0.85 of 0.67796 cm :
scattering of beam (w/o absorption) : 0.08209
true absorption of beam (w/o scattering) : 0.02741
transmission (i.e. 1 - total_attenuation) : 0.89276

Cross sections

Sample bkg to be subtracted with coeff of 0.89276 which should correspond to the coefficient multiplying the MTcontainer scan in the background subtraction of the do_sample script file and should be roughly equal to the ratio Acsch/Acch evaluated at an intermediate angle.

Container bkg to be subtracted with coeff of 1.00000 which should correspond to (1 - the coefficient multiplying the e.g. MTbelljar scan in the background subtraction of the do_sample script file) and should be roughly equal to the Acch coefficient evaluated at an intermediate angle.

Furnace bkg to be subtracted with coeff of 1.00000 Vanadium bkg to be subtracted with coeff of 0.70760 which should correspond to the coefficient multiplying the e.g. MT_belljar scan in the background subtraction of the do_vanadium script file and should be slightly more than the Avvch coefficient evaluated at an intermediate angle.



Output CORRECT (4)

Vanadium absorption, multiple scattering and Placzek corrections:

Linear attenuation coefficient (mu_tot) * radius = 0.22958

Ahh Placzek Angle Q Avvch Acvch Acch Ahvch Ahch m.s. 1.06 0.23 0.67941 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 -0.00094 1.11 0.67944 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 -0.00078 5.06 10.06 2.21 0.67952 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 -0.00029 15.01 3.29 0.67964 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.00051 4.38 0.67982 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.00164 20.01 5.45 0.68004 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 25.01 0.00306 30.01 6.52 0.68032 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.00478 35.11 7.60 0.68065 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.00682 8.64 0.68102 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 40.11 0.00908 45.00 9.64 0.68144 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.01152 50.00 10.64 0.68191 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.01424 55.00 11.63 0.68243 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.01716 60.00 12.59 0.68300 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.02024 65.05 13.54 0.68361 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.02351 70.05 14.46 0.68426 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.02687 75.05 15.34 0.68494 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.03033 80.08 16.20 0.68566 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.03387 0.03743 85.08 17.03 0.68640 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 90.08 17.82 0.68716 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.04100 95.11 18.59 0.68794 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.04458 100.11 19.31 0.68872 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.04810 105.05 19.99 0.68950 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.05151 110.05 20.64 0.69029 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.05486 115.05 21.25 0.69106 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.05810 120.05 21.82 0.69181 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.06120 125.06 22.35 0.69253 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.06413 130.06 22.83 0.69322 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.06688 135.06 23.28 0.69386 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.19384 0.06943

Vanadium



- Paalman & Pings' coefficients
- Multiple scattering (Blech & Averbach)
- Inelasticity (Placzek)

USTV School

18/11/2019 50



Output CORRECT (4)

Sample absorption, multiple scattering and Placzek corrections:

Linear attenuation coefficient (mu_tot) * radius = 0.07530

Angle 0 Ahh m.s. Placzek Assch Acsch Acch Ahsch Ahch 0.23 0.79040 0.79431 0.86102 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 -0.00037 1.06 1.11 0.79040 0.79435 0.86110 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 -0.00034 5.06 -0.00025 10.06 2.21 0.79041 0.79444 0.86119 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 3.29 0.79043 0.79458 0.86129 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 15.01 0.12060 -0.00012 20.01 4.38 0.79045 0.79476 0.86138 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00008 5.45 0.79049 0.79497 0.86146 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00032 25.01 30.01 6.52 0.79054 0.79522 0.86154 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00061 7.60 0.79059 0.79550 0.86160 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00096 35.11 40.11 8.64 0.79066 0.79581 0.86165 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00135 9.64 0.79072 0.79612 0.86169 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00177 45.00 50.00 10.64 0.79080 0.79647 0.86172 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00224 55.00 11.63 0.79087 0.79683 0.86173 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00274 60.00 12.59 0.79096 0.79720 0.86174 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00327 65.05 13.54 0.79104 0.79759 0.86174 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00384 70.05 14.46 0.79113 0.79798 0.86173 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00442 75.05 15.34 0.79122 0.79838 0.86171 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00502 80.08 16.20 0.79132 0.79878 0.86169 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00564 0.00626 85.08 17.03 0.79141 0.79918 0.86167 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 90.08 17.82 0.79151 0.79959 0.86165 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00689 95.11 18.59 0.79160 0.79999 0.86164 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00752 100.11 19.31 0.79170 0.80039 0.86164 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00813 105.05 19.99 0.79179 0.80078 0.86165 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00873 110.05 20.64 0.79188 0.80117 0.86169 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.00933 0.00990 115.05 21.25 0.79197 0.80155 0.86175 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 120.05 21.82 0.79206 0.80193 0.86184 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.01045 125.06 22.35 0.79214 0.80230 0.86197 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.01097 130.06 22.83 0.79223 0.80267 0.86214 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.01146 135.06 23.28 0.79230 0.80302 0.86237 1.00000 1.00000 1.00000 0.12060 0.01191 correct 2axis executed CORRECT> ! CORRECT> quit

- Paalman & Pings' coefficients
- Multiple scattering (Blech & Averk
- Inelasticity (Placzek)

Sample



USTV School



Output file CORRECT

<pre># filename.corr.q (after correct)</pre>		
#Block 1		
#======		
#		
#Instrument: D4		
<pre>#User : cuello (/net/serdon/illdata/processed</pre>	d/163/d4/	
exp 6-03-419/processed)		
#Run number: 1	Constant datas Differential Const Sec	
#Spectrum : 1	0.5	300
<pre>#Title : filename.corr.q (after correct)</pre>	0.5	'Se15Te85_425C.corr.q'
#Run date : Mon Jan 30 14:34:39 2017		
<pre>#X caption : Momentum transfer (A**-1)</pre>	0.45	
<pre>#Y caption : Cross-section</pre>		
#Histogram : F	0.4	
#Points : 1448		
0.232993290 -4.62648682E-02 3.51537281E-0	04 0.35 V	
0.261566997 -4.64400165E-02 3.06130416E-0	84 🔋 🗸 V	
0.287942380 -4.63275239E-02 2.72539881E-0	84 € 0.3	
0.316515416 -4.66136783E-02 2.61351408E-0	24 ts	
0.342890084 -4.60411571E-02 2.60503730E-0	∂4 [⊕] ₅ 0.25	
0.371462286 -4.54884954E-02 2.68991600E-0	<u>ਰੋ4</u> ਦੂ	
0.397836119 -1.95801519E-02 4.88558842E-0	ð4 🖞 0.2	
0.426407456 3.49235497E-02 7.47496902E-0	ð4 g	
0.452780336 3.98697816E-02 7.62447249E-0	0.15	
0.481350482 3.80599499E-02 7.57011061E-0	24	
0.507722378 3.90205234E-02 7.52640422E-0	24	
0.536291301 3.93197648E-02 7.49911123E-0	0.1	
0.562662005 3.99930254E-02 7.50510953E-0	34	
0.591229618 4.012502/3E-02 /.5564/954E-0	<u>34</u> 0.05 - 7	
0.61/598951 4.20581698E-02 /.60504045E-0	04	
0.6461650/3 4.25601229E-02 /.6591118/E-0		20
0.6/25329/6 4.255/3699E-02 /./1594932E-0	04 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20
	04	
	<i>0</i> 4	
	<i>0</i> 4	
0./823910/1 4.85052131E-02 /.922421/9E-0	04	

Extrapolation to low-Q

Corrected data: Differential Cross Section



Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin

Static Structure factor S(Q)

Static Structure Factor



Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin



Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin



Sinus FT: Pair Correlation Function

$$g(r) - 1 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \rho r} \int_0^\infty Q \ [S(Q) - 1] \ \sin(Qr) \ dQ$$

Pair Correlation Function (Density function)



USTV School

Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin



Pair Distribution Function (PDF)

Pair Distribution Function



USTV School

Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin

Radial Distribution Function

Radial Distribution Function



USTV School

Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin



PDF Se15Te85



USTV School

Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin



USTV School

Gabriel Cuello – Institut Laue Langevin



Presentation and tutorial available at the School website

cuello@ill.fr