Summer school:

"Structural role of elements in glasses, from classical concepts to a reflexion over broad composition range"

Tellurite (TeO₂-based) glasses

27th to 31st March, 2017 - Cargèse





Science of Ceramic Processes and of Surface Treatments

UMR CNRS 7315 University of Limoges – ENSCI - CNRS www.unilim.fr/spcts



European Ceramic Center Director : Thierry Chartier

204 staff - 106 permanents

66 Professors and Associate Professors13 CNRS researchers26 Engineers and Technicians98 PhD Students and Post-docs







Labex Sigma-Lim, Limoges, France





- □ Introduction / Interest of TeO₂-based glasses
- □ Structural features and peculiarities
 - The role of cation's valence / structure

(Notion of « weak, intermediate and strong » modifier)

- Is TeO₂ really a glass former?

- What's the origin of high 3rd-order NLO property ? Structure / nonlinear optical properties relationships
- Some examples of tellurite materials elaborated in SPCTS : transparent glass-ceramics and ceramics ...



- Relatively low melting temperature (700-900° C)
- Good chemical and thermal stability
- High linear and non-linear refractive indices $\chi^{(3)}$). n≈ 2- 2.2
- Good optical transmission in the near infrared (up to 6 mm)
- High Raman gain coefficients (60 times as large as silicate glass)
- High solubility of the rare earth ions
- Lower phonon energies (other oxide glasses)
 Favour radiative transitions
- High emission and absorption cross sections (highest emission cross section among the Nd³⁺ doped glasses)

 $n_2, \chi^{(3)}$ > × 50 that of silica

Glass Matrix	hω (cm ⁻¹)
Borate	1400
Phosphate	1200
Silicate	1100
Germanate	880
Tellurite	700-800

UT am

glass

ceram

LASER APPLICATIONS



 $P = \varepsilon_0(\chi^{(1)} \cdot E + \chi^{(2)} \cdot E \cdot E + \chi^{(3)} \cdot E \cdot E \cdot E + \cdots)$

 \rightarrow



Nonlinear optical properties





Glass former ?

Oxide glasses



* The used ionic radii were extracted from the online database at http://abulafia.mt.ic.ac.uk

Dietzel (1942) Field Strength : high F.S. cations – high cation-oxygen bond energy

Glass formers	> 1.3
Glass modifiers	< 0.4
Intermediates	0.4 ≤ F.S. ≤ 1.3



Ab-initio modelling : best $\chi(3)$ for pure TeO₂ glass

Pure TeO₂ glass impossible to prepare in bulk pieces !! Addition of modifier oxides is necessary to improve the thermal stability (Tc-Tg): large glassy domains



Objective: compromise between the thermal stability and the nonlinear optical properties Modifier oxides

- hyperpolarisable cations: electronic lone pair holders (TI+, Pb²⁺, Bi³⁺...)



Glass forming domains

Synthesis / Processing



Melting in platinum crucibles

Shaping: air-quenching of the melt by flattening between two brass blocks separated by a brass ring



Annealing / Polishing





Nonlinear optical properties



- The non-linear properties of such glasses are higher than that of a lead-silica glass and clearly dependent of the nature of the modifier oxide.
- The third order nonlinearity increases with the linear polarizability of the adding cation



 Different local environment of Te(IV) atoms (Asymmetry of the local environment of Te^{IV} due to the steric effect of its lone pair: large variability of TeO_x structural units:



Medium-range order (large variability of TeO_x structural units connection):



Chains with highly asymmetrical bridges

Chains with nearly symmetrical and highly asymmetrical bridges

Chains with double bridges

0

Те

- □ Origin of the nonlinear optical properties: ???
 - Te(IV) electronic lone pair (Te⁴⁺: [Kr] $4d^{10} 5s^2$)
 - Influence of the structure (short and medium range order)
- \Box TeO₂ : "conditional" glass former (low thermal stability, addition of modifiers)



 Need of a better understanding of the actual glass structure Either for pure TeO₂ and TeO₂-based glasses
 1) With respect to the nature of the modifier: cation's valence

(Raman spectroscopy, Lattice Dynamics)



- Raman and IR spectroscopies
- Modelling: Lattice Dynamics (Lady)
- EXAFS, XANES, RMN, Mossbauer...
- X-ray and neutron diffraction
- X-ray and neutron Total Scattering
- Atomic scale Modelling Reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) Molecular dynamics (MD)

The structural units vary with the addition of a modifier oxide

(contrary to silicates ...) associated with a depolymerisation of the tellurite framewok

Drawback: decrease of the optical properties

2) Pure TeO₂

Combined experimental (Raman, X ray and neutron Total Scattering / PDF) and atomic scale modelling (RMC, MD) methods



The role of cation's valence / structure



Hypothesis: the « strength » of the modifier's cation (its oxidation's state) induces the polymerization degree of the $TeO_2-M_nO_p$ glasses

Investigation of the structure of the glass with respect to the nature of the modifier



To understand the rules which are driving the structural modifications of **Te**⁴⁺O₂-based glasses transformation by studying three types of modifiers :

- "weak" cation TI^+ : $TeO_2 TI_2O$
- "intermediate" cation Ti⁴⁺ : TeO₂ TiO₂
- "strong" cation Nb^{5+} : $TeO_2 Nb_2O_5$

Method:

- Modelling (lattice dynamics) of the Raman spectra of crystalline compounds

- Structural investigation and evolution of the Raman spectra of glasses with respect to the addition of modifier



LADY (LAttices Dynamics) program VFF (Valence Force Field) Potentiel

Force constants *F* – stiffness of interatomic springs

The phonon dispersion w(k) provides us information on stiffness of interatomic bonds This information can be derived by using the lattice dynamics modelling





The role of cation's valence / structure



Tellurite glasses: no systematic matching between Raman spectra of glass ("envelope") and crystal of same composition

Contrary to general rule in « classical » glasses (silicates, germanates, ...)



TeO₂ phases and glass





The role of cation's valence / structure



γ-TeO₂ first compound to crystallize from the glass

 γ -TeO₂ « parent » structure of the glass



"Weak" cation TI⁺ : TeO₂ - TI₂O

The role of cation's valence / structure

TI₂TeO₃ Example of a complete depolymerized tellurite structure



"Weak" cation TI⁺ : TeO₂ - TI₂O

The role of cation's valence / structure





Addition of modifier :

Increase of the intensity of the band at 725 cm⁻¹ Decrease of the intensity of the band at 660 cm⁻¹ and of the band near 450 cm⁻¹

Breaking of the Te-O-Te et bridges and appearance of isolated TeO₃²⁻ ortho-anions **Depolymerization**

Interpretation: a weak cation TI⁺ « **gives** » all its oxygen atoms to TeO₂ units *(in fact TeO₂₊₂)* which transform into TeO₃²⁻ ions (more and more TeO₃²⁻ with increasing TI⁺ content). This transformation is associated logically to a depolymerization of the tellurite framework of the glass



```
"Intermediate" cation Ti<sup>4+</sup> : TeO<sub>2</sub> - TiO<sub>2</sub>
```

TiTe₃O₈



Te-O and Ti-O bond lenghts are close

dTe-O $\simeq 1.86$ Å dTi-O $\simeq 1.96$ Å

Force constants of Te-O and Ti-O « close »

Presence of symmetric Te-O-Ti vibrational bridges Intense band near 490 cm⁻¹



"Intermediate" cation Ti⁴⁺ : TeO₂ - TiO₂



Raman spectra of the xTiO₂-(100-x)TeO₂ glasses

and of the TiTe₃O₈ phase

The role of cation's valence / structure

Addition of modifier :

No evident evolution of the intensity of the bands at about 450 cm⁻¹ and near 650 cm⁻¹

No depolymerization : progressive substitution of Te-O-Te bridges by Te-O-Ti ones

 Ti^{4+} doesn't «give» its oxygen to the TeO₂ pseudomolecules units.

No TeO_3^{2-} orthoanions exists.

Interpretation: glass structure is close to that of the TiTe₃O₈ crystalline compound:

Ti⁴⁺ and Te⁴⁺ atoms are **sharing** their oxygen atoms

(shown by the weak intensity of the 800 cm⁻¹ band attributed to the antisymetric vibration of the Ti-O-Te bridge)

so the glass could be described as a "solid solution" of TiO_2 in TeO_2 .



"Strong" cation Nb⁵⁺ : TeO₂ - Nb₂O₅

ntensity (a.u.)

200



Nb₂Te₄O₁₃

The role of cation's valence / structure

Bridges: Te-O-Nb (1,90-2,00 Å) Nb-O-Nb (1,80-2,10 Å) Te-O-Te (1,92-2,00 Å)

Addition of modifier :

- No noticeable variation of the Raman spectra

Progressive substitution of Te-O-Te bridges by Te-O-Nb ones («chemically close »)

Temperature induced Raman spectroscopy can evidence the local structure of glasses (first compound to crystallize)

Evidence of Te_2O_5 or TeO_3 units (for rich-modifier compositions) in the glasses

Interpretation: Niobium atoms are « strong » cations but **they cannot keep** all their oxygen atoms and so favor the formation of some tellurite structural fragments (like Te_2O_5 or TeO_3).

x=0.3

800

600 Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)

Raman spectra of the $xNbO_{2.5}$ -(1-x)TeO₂ glasses



This is certainly due to the anisotropic environment of niobium atom. In fact around niobium atoms, there are some close oxygen atoms and other are less connected and are "given" to TeO_2 units.





Summary

The role of cation's valence / structure

Modifier's cation valence plays a role in structural properties of TeO₂-based glasses

Weak modifier's cation (as TI⁺) : island-type structure , isolated TeO_3^{2-} anions : glass depolymerization

Intermediate or strong modifier's cations M (as Ti^{4+} , Nb^{5+}) in the $(1-x)TeO_2-xM_nO_m$ glasses: substitution of Te-O-Te bridges by Te-O-M ones Because of chemical resemblance of cations Te and M (similar or close valences and radii) the framework-type structure can be kept : **no glass depolymerization**



Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?









Structure of pure TeO₂ glass

Is TeO₂ really a glass former?

A combined approach using the Pair Distribution Function (PDF) method and Reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) method or Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation



Computer simulation methods at the atomic scale

Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?

- Reverse Monte-Carlo (RMC)
- Molecular dynamics (MD)
- ⇒ In both cases: structure models made of several thousands of atoms are generated and tested against experimental data







Total scattering - PDF

Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?

X-ray and neutron Total Scattering : pair distribution function analysis



G(r) (Pair distribution function) :

- Peak position -> interatomic distance
- Peak area -> coordination number
- Peak width -> disorder

Total scattering diffractometer at SPCTS

•Optics optimized for high photon flux •Mo X-ray tube ($\lambda = 0.71$ Å)





Structural characterization / RMC method

Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?

The starting structural configuration:

comparative study of the TeO_2 glass with TeO_2 polymorphs



- 1.9 Å: common peak for the 4 compounds (structural units)
- at medium range order: similitude between the TeO_2 glass and the $\gamma\text{-TeO}_2$ polymorph

The TeO₂ glass structure is close to the γ -TeO₂ structure (as seen by using Raman spectroscopy)



Structural characterization / RMC method

Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?

RMC: Our short range structural model

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i} W_{i} (y_{io} - y_{ic})^{2} + \alpha C_{1} + \beta C_{2}$$

$$C_{1} = \sum_{j} \sum_{k} |V_{kj} - V_{j}|^{c_{j}}$$

$$C_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \sum_{k'} A_{jj'} \exp(-R_{kk'} / \rho_{jj'})$$

- Bond valence constraints
- + Lone-pair steric effect constraints

Optimized parameters

	A _{jj′}	r _{jj′}	Cj
0-0	711.0	0.324	1.0
O-LP	637.1	0.307	1.0
Te-O	3929.0	0.236	1.0

The obtained parameters were tested by reproducing known structural units



Structural characterization / RMC method

Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?

RMC modelling of TeO₂ glass

- starting structural configuration: γ -TeO₂ structure
- constraints of our structural model
- fit performed against the experimental data





RMC simulation box

The experimental and calculated data match

atom type	number of atoms	mean valence (γ -TeO ₂)	mean valence (TeO ₂ glass)	satisfaction of the constraint
Те	876	3.9	3.8	92 %
0	1792	-1.9	-1.9	90 %



Structural characterization / RMC method

Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?



- characteristic of the structural units: presence of TeO_3 , TeO_{3+1} and TeO_4 units

- characteristic of the structural units linkage: chains not directed along one axis and presence of double bridges



Structural characterization / MD simulation

Molecular dynamics simulation of TeO₂ glass

Interatomic potentials developed for TeO_2 system with GULP [1] software MD simulations for pure amorphous TeO_2 performed with DL_POLY software [2].



Calculated and experimental total distribution functions

Check on all known tellurite structures

- 1. GULP a computer program for the symmetry adapted simulation of solids, J.D. Gale, JCS Faraday Trans., 93, 629 (1997)
- 2. <u>http://www.ccp5.ac.uk/DL_POLY_CLASSIC/</u> "The DL_POLY Classic User Manual", by W. Smith, T.R. Forester and I.T.Todorov, published by Daresbury Laboratory, United Kingdom.



Structural characterization / MD simulation

Modelled glass network topology

TeO₂ a GLASS FORMER???



Fragment of pure TeO₂ glass structure obtained by MD simulations

- TeO₂ glass consists of wide variety structural units with broad bond lengths distribution
- TeO_x units tend to form large rings $(n \ge 10)$
- Large concentration of NBO (21%)
- Strong influence on the network of the LP steric effect
- \rightarrow Open network with chain breaks and voids
- \rightarrow Thermal instability
- \rightarrow Good ability to accommodate the modifiers



Is TeO₂ really a glass former ?

What's the origin of high 3rd-order NLO property ?

Structure / nonlinear optical properties relationships



Ab initio (DFT) Molecular Orbital calculations







. $\chi(3)$ linear chain-like structure ~ $\chi(3)$ (exp.) . **The polymerization** contributes strongly to the hyperpolarisability (Te lone pairs 5%!!): electronic delocalization within the chains.



Transparent glass-ceramics and ceramics:

- Improvement of the non-linear optical properties
- Strengthen the mechanical properties of the glass
- Keep the optical transparency
 - . Conventional annealing in a classical furnace
 - . Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS)
 - Partial devitrification and shaping of the "powder" in 1 step
 - Higher crystallization kinetics rate in comparison with a heat treatment in a conventional furnace (× 100)
 - But problem of carbon contamination !!! Solution : pre-sintering









Melt - Quenched reference glass



Comparing the structure of SPS and MQ glasses



The SPS step does not modify the structure of MQ glasses !



From glass to glass-ceramics



γ-TeO₂ phase crystallizes first ! Non-centrosymmetric phase : perfect for SHG !

The optical transmission strongly drops for glass-ceramics



From glass to glass-ceramics / Microstructure



Observation of freshly polished surfaces by SEM

Crystals are still located at the surface of the glass grains !

 \Rightarrow Crystals are disseminated within the whole volume of the samples !





From glass to glass-ceramics / Nature of crystals: γ -TeO₂

The core of the grains remains amorphous γ -TeO₂ crystallizes at the surface of the grains !



From glass to glass-ceramics / Evidence of SHG

SHG properties





Detection of SHG signal ⇔ Glass-ceramics containing non-centrosymetric crystals

In agreement with the XRD and Raman data (evidence of a majority of γ -TeO₂ phase)

SHG intensity proportional to the quantity of crystals



Elaboration of transparent tellurite ceramic by full and congruent crystallization from glass

Glass composition : TNB : 75TeO₂-12.5Nb₂O₅-12.5Bi₂O₃



Complete crystallization (EBSD)

Grain boundaries (SEM)



No porosity; grain size average: 5 μm



Elaboration of transparent tellurite ceramic by full and congruent crystallization from glass

Glass composition: TNB : 75TeO₂-12.5Nb₂O₅-12.5Bi₂O₃





Glass / Ceramic: same transparency Excellent transmission in the near-IR (3-5 μm)



Elaboration of transparent tellurite ceramic by full and congruent crystallization from glass

Glass composition: TNB : 75TeO₂-12.5Nb₂O₅-12.5Bi₂O₃

Thermo-mechanical properties





Glass to ceramic:

Improvment of mechanical properties Increase of thermal conductivity

➔ promising for laser applications





European Ceramic Center, Limoges, FRANCE



Thank you for your attention

Thank you to organizers

Questions / Discussions ?

A. Gulenko, M. Dolhen, R. Zaki, L. Torzuoli, J. De Clermont-Gallerande, M. Colas, J. Cornette, J.-R. Duclèr V. Couderc, G. Delaizir, S. Chenu, O. Noguera, D. Hamani, J. Jouin, O. Masson

and national and international collaborators

37^{èmes} Journées Nationales D'OPTIQUE GUIDÉE

04-06 JUILLET

LIMOGES



www.jnog-omw2017.fr





Campus Centre-Ville, 5 Rue Félix Eboué, Limoges





A unique opportunity for students, researchers and engineers from all over the world to discover or extend their knowledge in materials for optics.



Held at the "Le Green Saint-Lazare" http://www.legreensaintlazare.com/ the Summer School takes full advantage of its location in the heart of Limoges, the French capital of oeramics.

Summer School includes high-level invited talks, SPCTS & XLIM labs tour, company visits, social events...

Registration fees: (the registration fees include access to all technical sessions, admission to get-together party, banquet, accommodation, lunch breaks)

□ student (400€)

□ non-student (500€)







Contact and inscriptions: celine.parvy@unilim.fr

there are a limited number of places available so please book early

http://www.unilim.fr/sigmatech-days/agenda-2/

GUEST SPEAKERS

Dr. Remy BOULESTEIX University of Limoges, France Prof. Ltonel CANIONI, University of Bordeaux, France Dr. Vincent COUDERC XLIM Institute, CNRS, France Dr. Jean-René DUCLERE

University of Limoges, France

Prof. Tomokatsu HAYAKAWA, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Japan

Prof. Euan HENDRY, University of Exeter, UK Dr. Herve MAILLOTTE

FEMTO-ST Institute, CNRS

Prof. Armando RASTELLI Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

Prof. Marco ROMAGNOLI, Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Italy

Dr. Frederto SANDOZ, Ex. Plant Manager of SILITEC Fibers SA, Switzerland

Prof. Angela SEDDON, University of Nottingham, UK

Dr. Takunort TAIRA, Institute for Moleoular Science, Japan

Dr. Xlang-Hua ZHANG, University of Rennes, France



Modelling of the non-linear optical properties

What's the origin of high 3rd-order NLO property ?

Ab initio (DFT) Molecular Orbital calculations on TeO_4 and TeO_3 structural units.



$<\gamma^{Te/p}>$ 470 203 >> $<\gamma^{Te-Obp}>$ 206 175 ~ $<\gamma^{O/p}>$ 71 60		TeO4H4	TeO3H3⁺	Remark
<γ ^{Te-Obp} > 206 175 ~	 <γ ^{Te/p} >	470	203	>>
	<γ ^{Te-Obp} >	206	175	~
<y~,> /1 80 ~</y~,>	<y<sup>0/p></y<sup>	71	60	~
<γ ^{O-Hbp} > 39 17 Small values	<γ ^{O-H<i>bp</i>} >	39	17	Small values
$<\gamma^{cluster}>$ 2018 1134 $\sum<\gamma^{bond}>$	<γ ^{cluster} >	2018	1134	$\sum < \gamma^{bond} >$

Te *lp* in the TeO₄ geometry is a *key* of high γ in TeO₂-based glasses

S. SUEHARA, P. THOMAS, A.P. MIRGORODSKY and al., Phys. Rev. B, (70), (2004), 205121-1 – 205121-7.



Modelling of the non-linear optical properties What's the origin of high 3rd-order NLO property ?

Ab initio (DFT) Molecular approach

Geometry determination of a series of $(TeO_2)_p$ clusters

Many more-or-less realistic stable clusters



1D « chain-like »; p=6



2D « circle »; p=6



3D « cage »; p=8

Nonlinear susceptibility χ^3

- . $\chi(3)$ linear chain-like structure ~ $\chi(3)$ (exp.)
- **. The polymerization** contributes strongly to the hyperpolarisability (Te lone pairs 5%!!): electronic delocalization within the chains.



A.P.Mirgorodsky, M.Smirnov, M.Soulis, P.Thomas, T.Merle-Méjean, Phys. Rev. B 73, 134206, 2006



Interatomic potentials model

- Coulombic interaction for **fully ionic** model of Born:
- Short range interaction: Buckingham potential form:
- Core-shell model for both Te and O atoms:

$$U_{ij}^{coul} = \frac{q_i q_j}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{ij}}$$

$$U_{ij} = Ae^{-r_{ij}/\rho} - Cr_{ij}^{-6}$$

$$U_{spr} = \frac{1}{2}k_2x^2 + \frac{1}{24}k_4x^4$$

Interaction	Parameters
Te ⁴⁺ – O ²⁻	A_{1}, ρ_{1}, C_{1}
O ²⁻ – O ²⁻	A_2, ρ_2, C_2
Te (core) – Te (shell)	k ¹ ₂ , k ¹ ₄ , q ¹ _{sh}
O(coro) = O(cboll)	$k^2 \sim^2$
O(core) = O(sheir)	κ ⁻ ₂ , Υ ⁻ _{sh}

 r_{ij} – distance between atoms; *A*, *C*, ρ – constants; q_{i} , q_{j} – ion charges

=> Parametrization of IAP using 11 parameters


