# **Section 2018 Contribution of thermodynamics in determining the parameters of elaboration and energy efficiency**

C. Claireaux, CelSian





#### We are not getting any younger - 2012



GehirnzerstörendsInformationsWelle	×
\$ ⊲)	34/5000 💌 👻
Brain-destroying information wave	\$
4)	_ 6 <sub>9</sub> ~

R Conradt, 2019. Prospects and physical limits of processes and technologies in glass melting. Journal of Asian Ceramic Societies 7 (4) 377-396



### Real case scenario



A furnace producing amber colored bottles doesn't reach the desired pull at a satisfactory level of quality [bubbles!]



Energy can neither be created nor destroyed, only altered in form.



#### **Energy balance**

#### WHAT COMES IN



- Combustion
- Boosting





#### A furnace consumes energy

Power supplied to the electrical boosting [kW]

Flow of gas supplied to the burners and the composition and/or caloric value of the gas

 $\rightarrow$  Pin, the power input

P<sub>boost</sub>

 $P_{comb} = H_{comb}V'_{fuel}$ 

 $P_{in} = P_{comb} + P_{boost}$ 



#### **Benchmark, for container furnaces**



7



#### A furnace is a chemical reactor



 $\rightarrow$  Pex, the exploited power

 $P_{ex} = p((1-y_{cullet})\Delta H^{\circ}_{chem} + \Delta H(T_{ex}))$ 



#### Wait, what? – $\Delta H$ and $\Delta H^{\circ}_{chem}$



Tex melt

 $\Delta H_{melt}$ 

 $H_{glass} = H_{vit} + H_{MIX}$ 



#### Heat of formation of the glass



	$-H_{\rm X}$	Hvit	
Compound	kJ/mol		
CaO-SiO <sub>2</sub>	1635.1	49.8	
2CaO-SiO <sub>2</sub>	2328.4	101.3	
Na <sub>2</sub> O-2SiO <sub>2</sub>	2473.6	29.3	
Na2O-SiO2	1563.1	37.7	
3Na <sub>2</sub> O-8SiO <sub>2</sub>	9173.0	103.9	

Table 5.3 (continued)

Na2O-CaO-5SiO2 Na2O-3CaO-6SiO2

Na<sub>2</sub>O-2CaO-3SiO<sub>2</sub>

2Na<sub>2</sub>O-CaO-3SiO<sub>2</sub>

SiO<sub>2</sub> (cristobalite)

Conradt, R. (2021). Fiberglass Batch-to-Melt Process. In: Li, H. (eds) Fiberglass Science and Technology. Springer, Cham.

5934.0

8363.8

4883.6

4763.0

908.3

59.8

141.9

92.5

92.5

6.9

- Heat of vitrification of definite compounds
- Mixing energy neglectable
- Same approach for  $\Delta H_{melt}$









#### How is the energy spent overall? Energy balance (container/float)



## What can we do so far?

Boosting, combustion, pull, cullet ratio, batch composition  $\rightarrow$  Energy balance and efficiency



A system at equilibrium tends to maximize its entropy.



#### A fine balance

Heat flows from a hot body to a cooler one... While increasing entropy

#### High temperature difference

- High heat transfer rate short residence time
- High energy degradation





#### **Temperature efficiency**



$$\zeta = \frac{T_{ex} - T_0}{T_{ad} - T_0}$$

16



#### **Glass melter = heat exchanger**

Matching the power delivered to the power exploited



17



#### Heat capacity flow ratio

$$z_{FG} = \zeta \frac{P_{in}}{P_{ex}} \left(1 - \frac{P_{boost}}{P_{in}}\right)$$

Normalized pull

 $p_{86} = + \sigma_p$ 

average pull rate  $\sigma_p$  standard deviation





#### Radiative heat transfer from the combustion space is key

95% heat flux combustion  $\rightarrow$  glass

Grey radiation model Emissions = εσT<sup>4</sup> [kW/m<sup>2</sup>] Balance of heat fluxes q [kW/m<sup>2</sup>] a, b, c functions of ε gas, melt, crown

$$T_{crown} = \left[\frac{c}{b}(q_{loss} + rH_{ex}) - \frac{a}{b}T_{gas}^4 + \left(1 + \frac{a}{b}\right)T_{melt}^4\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}$$





#### **Emissivity of the crown**

#### For most oxide-based materials $\epsilon \approx 0.4$





Unless coated with high emissivity paints



#### **Emissivity of the combustion space**

Flame emission coefficient =  $f(T, [CO_2], [H_2O], flame thickness, [soot])$ 

Luminous, sooty flames  $\varepsilon = 0.25$ 

Non sooty flames

Air gas  $\varepsilon = 0.12$  / Oxy-fuel  $\varepsilon = 0.4$ 







#### **Emissivity of the glass**



0.8 Foamy melt 0.6 Batch 0.4 0.2 0.0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 surface temperature T in °C



#### And in reality?

ε melt (clear)	0.6
ε crown	0.4
ε gas (luminous air gas)	0.25
T melt	1350°C
T gas	1573°C
T crown	1570°C
q boosting	8 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
q losses	78 kW/m <sup>2</sup>
H ex	389 kW/m <sup>2</sup>

 $P_{ex} = p((1-y_{cullet})\Delta H^{\circ}_{chem} + \Delta H(T_{ex}))$ 

Theoretical specific pull rate 3.12 t/m<sup>2</sup>/day

Actual specific pull rate 3.12 t/m<sup>2</sup>/day



## What can we do now?

Quantify the influence of the heat transfer on the efficiency and combine everything to estimate the achievable pull rate











#### **Energy Balance Model**

- "Fast" (1-2 min) calculations
- Potential energy savings and CO<sub>2</sub> reduction potential
- Detailed picture where to save energy
- Quantify impact of implementing energy saving-measures
- Training tool !







# Have we solved the problem?

Saving energy BUT keeping quality Thermodynamics + flow



Computational Fluid Dynamics



#### **CFD simulation – domain discretization**





Temperature [°C] 1100115012001250130013501400145015001550 1000



#### **Classic sources of bubbles : shortcut flow and reboil**



 Residence Time [hours]

 0.0
 0.5
 1
 1.5
 2
 2.5
 3
 3.5
 4
 4.5
 5
 5.6







# Conclusion

- Elegant and efficient approach to accurately describe the energy exchanges taking place in furnaces.
- Powerful to quantify potential energy savings and optimize furnaces.
- For quality issues, the additional understanding of flows is a necessity.
- Excellent educational tool.



DO IT !



# SelSian

# Thank you for your kind attention!