

Eric H. Oelkers GET/Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, FRANCE Science Institute,University of Iceland, ICELAND Earth Sciences, University College London, UK





## Metal-water- exchange rates





## Metal-oxygen exchange rates vary by orders of magnitude



Silicate minerals have a wealth of structures to help deduce dissolution mechanisms...



## **Mechanisms for Multi-oxide Mineral Dissolution**

-.....

2 1 1 2 C	Reaction	Alkali- Feldspai	Anorthite r	Muscovite	Enstatite	Wollas- tonite	Forsterite	Basaltic Glass	0 - 0 - N - 1
STAT SE PAR	Alkali metal -H exchange	Step 1	$\downarrow$	Step 1		$\downarrow$		Step 1	
	Ca-H exchange reaction		Step 1		$\downarrow$	Step 1	Ļ	Step 2	0.0.0
10 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0 11 0	Mg-H exchange reaction	Ļ	<b>L</b>	Ļ	Step 1		Mineral Dest- royed	Step 3	1612 19
1.2 C	Tetrahedra l Al-H exchange reaction	Step 2	Mineral Destroyed	Step 2	Ļ	Ļ		Step 4	The second
Start No.	Breaking Si-O bonds <sup>1</sup>	Mineral Dest- royed		Mineral Destroyed	Mineral Dest- royed	Mineral Destroyed		Solid Dest- royed	N 167 191



Alkali Feldspar dissolution mechanism Rapid Na/H exchange: H<sup>+</sup> + >Na = Na<sup>+</sup> + >H



#### Alkali-felspar dissolution mechanism





### Alkali-feldspar dissolution mechanism

#### **Rapid proton for Al exchange**







### **Transition State Theory**

Forward dissolution rate is proportional to activated site concentration

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{k} [\mathbf{A}^+] = \mathbf{k} K (a_{\mathrm{H}^+}^z / a_{\mathrm{M}^{z_+}})'' (1 - \exp(\mathrm{A}^* / \sigma \mathrm{RT}))$$

So for the alkali feldspars far from equilibrium....

$$r = k' K (a_{H^+}^3 / a_{AI^{3+}})''$$

## Multi-oxide dissolution rates versus $(a^n_H + / a_M n +)$ in non-complexing systems



## This also takes account of the effect of other ligands



Organic ligands (Oelkers and Schott, 1998)



Flouride (Harouiya and Oelkers, 2004)

#### Albite dissolution rates as a function of pH



Gudbrandsson et al. (2014)

### Sources of 'Data Scatter'

- Degree of order of AI-Si framework (Zhang and Luttge, 2007, 2009)
- Grain size (Fischer et al (2012)
  - Sample preparation (Beig and Luttge (2006)
- Small compositional differences/exsolution textures (Holdren and Spyer, 1985)
- Differences in reactive surface area among samples (Gautier et al., 2000)

Loss of reactive surface area with time (Kohler et al., 2005)

# Comparison of glass versus mineral dissolution rates (25 C pH 4) (Wolff-Bosenbach et al., 2006)





**Basaltic Glass Dissolution Mechanism** 

**Step 1: Mono/divalent metal exchange** 



#### **Basaltic Glass Dissolution Mechanism:**

Step 2: Trivalent metal-proton exchange



**Basaltic Glass Dissolution Mechanism:** 

**Step 2: Trivalent metal-proton exchange** 





## Basaltic Glass Dissolution Rate Equation



Variation of measured Basaltic Glass Dissolution rates with (a<sub>H</sub>+/a<sub>AI</sub>+3) (Oelkers and Gislason, 2001)

A single regression curve is found for all data at both acidic and basic pH



#### Measured basaltic glass dissolution rates as a function of pH



#### Variation of basaltic glass dissolution rates with chemical affinity of leached layer (after Daux et al., 1998)



## **Evolution of leached layers**

Case 1: r<sub>diffusion</sub> ~ r<sub>dissoltuion</sub>

Case 2: r<sub>diffusion</sub> >> r<sub>dissolution</sub>



## How we did titrations

### Titrations illustrate the proton for metal exchange and how this reaction varies with pH

#### Example Enstatite (MgSiO<sub>3</sub>, Oelkers et al., 2009)







The above dissolution mechanisms explain 'dissolution' but not surface composition and at times release rates of other elements...



Excess metals released during 20 minute titrations from various minerals (Oelkers et al, 2009)

## Biotite near surface as a function of pH (Bray et al. 2014)





Dissolved octahedral layer

The degree to which metals are depleted on the near surface depends on fluid composition, notably pH

## Law of micro-reversibility

Equilibrium is the two-way transfer of material...

New Challenges: Defining element exchange using stable isotopes: Fluid Mg evolution during 25 C hydromagnesite dissolution and equilibrium (Oelkers et al., 2014)



#### Summary:

 Rate mechanisms for multi-oxide minerals and glasses can be deduced from relative metal-water exchange rates

 These mechanisms provide robust equations for prediction dissolution rates

-Second order effects can lead to +/- 2 orders of magnitude uncertainty

**Faster metal exchange reactions lead to surface depletion zones that depend on fluid composition** 

-But the exchange reactions still continue at 'equilibrium' consistent with dynamic equilibrium

## Vitesse d'ration desrauxs

ABATIE

TOULOUSE II

Eric H. Oelkers GET/Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, FRANCE Science Institute, University of Iceland, ICELAND Earth Sciences, University College London, UK

GET

## Major elements/minerals...



Over the past 16 years in Toulouse alone we have the dissolution rates of more than 40 rock forming minerals as a function of temperature and solution composition in bulk/mixed-flow reactors.

Minerals/glasses include: Oxides: Quartz, moganite, hematite,

FRAMEWORK SILICATES: albite, K-feldspars, anorthite, kyanite SHEET SILICATES/CLAYS: kaolinite, talc, muscovite, illite, talc, tremolite, serpentine

CHAIN SILICATES: diopside, forsterite, hornblende, enstatite, wollastonite, CARBONATES: calcite, dolomite, magnesite, dawsonite,

PHOSPHATES: apatite, monazite, rhabdophane, struvite

GLASS: basaltic, rholytic

**MISCELLANEOUS:** halite

These rates are currently being incorporated into a computer enabled database to allow their use in geochemical modeling calculations
# Precipitation rates What do we know?



# Example: Modeling of illite formation in Garn formation (Oelkers et al., in prep.)

Al<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub> + KAISi<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> = KAI<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>O<sub>10</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> + 2SiO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O kaolinite K-spar Illite quartz illite fraction of clays

Tem

erature,

റീ

0 0.2 0.4 0.6

Model results using published rate dat



Model results taking account of illite nucleation + 2-D growth

## Summary

General model describing dissolution rates and mechanisms developed/parameterized for all major minerals/glasses
In geosciences its currently unclear how this can be extended to nucleation/growth

## General Silicate Dissolution Mechanism: Basic Assumptions

1) Metals removed via proton exchange reactions

2) Partially liberated metals are removed faster than fully attached metals

**3)** At any given pH and Temperature metals are removed in same relative order in all silicates

### Basaltic Glass (from Vatuajokull Iceland)

SiAl<sub>0.36</sub>Ti<sub>0.03</sub>Fe(III)<sub>0.02</sub> Ca<sub>0.30</sub>Mg<sub>0.25</sub>Fe(II)<sub>0.15</sub>Na<sub>0.04</sub> O<sub>3.35</sub>

## **Open System reactor experiments:**



## High Temperature Open system reactors



# Typical fluid evolution during glass dissolution experiment





**Mathematical Necessities 1: Thermodynamics** 

**Metal-proton exchange reaction:** 

$$\underset{\uparrow}{M} < + z H^+ = M^{z+} + H_z <$$

Metal in near surface site

Protons in near surface site

which implies....

$$[H_{z} <] = \frac{\kappa(a_{H^{+}}^{z}/a_{M^{z+}})}{1 + \kappa(a_{H^{+}}^{z}/a_{M^{z+}})}$$
  
Equilibrium constant for exchange reaction

# Surface Speciation as a function of aqueous concentration



 $Al_2O_3$  dissolution (after Furrer and Stumm, 1986)  $3H^+ + 1.5 Al_2O_3 = Al^{3+}+1.5 H_2O$ 

- Rate proportional to protonated precursor complex
- Overall reaction a metal for proton exchange reaction



log C<sub>H</sub><sup>s</sup>

# What are partially detached metals?

Example of albite aluminosilicate framework dissolution:

$$A1\left(-O-Si \stackrel{O}{\leftarrow} O-Si-\right)_{3}+3H^{+} \stackrel{O}{\underset{O-Si-}{\longrightarrow}} A1^{3+}+3\left(H-O-Si \stackrel{O}{\underset{O-Si-}{\longleftarrow}} O-Si-\right)$$

### calcite dissolution

## 1 µm Gslow Gfast 0 40

#### Jordan and Rammensee (1998)

pH 5.6, room temp.

#### T=24°C, pure H $_2$ O



# Relative metal-oxygen bond breaking rate same in all minerals



Normalized 'far from equilibrium' dissolution rate as a function of aqueous solution composition



### Activated Complex Formation/Generalized Surface Coordination Model

- 1) Sorption of aqueous species
- 2) Breaking bridging bonds by removal of adjacent atoms

### **Single-oxide Mineral Dissolution:**

Metal-Oxygen bonds break at very different rates



### Example: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dissolution (after Furrer and Stumm, 1986)

